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## 1. Introduction

The regression analysis was done using Microsoft Excel program basing on main power and vessel speed and presented in figure 1 to figure 20. The vessels data for the regression taken from Lloyds register of Ships, (Soviet- Trawlers 2016), and (marintimesales 2016) are presented in Table 1 in a short form, while the regression formulas derived from them are collected in Table 3. Regression coefficients range from 0.8 to 0.99 for all the formulae presented. A total of 32 formulae are presented.

The new method of how to utilize these formulae to find the best required power for a comparative design process is presented. This is within the premises of preliminary design before the preliminary machinery weight or Light weight estimation of the vessel. The detailed power estimation based on more advanced hydrodynamics methods (Sugalski, 2014; Kleppestø, 2015; Holtrop, 1984) amongst others is used in the latter stage of vessel design. The result from this method serves to set or select the desired limits for power and speed to be achieved by the latter stage vessel design process.

[^0]Similar works exist (Duru, 1997; Brett Wilson, 1985; Watson, 1989) and (M.F.C. Santerelli, 1982) amongst others, in different perspectives. This work serves as an update as well as a new approach to solve this problem.

## 2. Methodolgy

The method follows the following exemplified steps:

- In the process for preliminary design presented by the author (Duru, 2016) three optimal main dimensions of projected 1000 tonnes deadweight fishing vessel was predicted. These three best comparative designs named A1, A2, A3 options respectively, are shown in row 1 to 6 and column 1 of Table 5, 6, 7, respectively.
- Calculate the power $P_{1}, P_{2}$, to $P_{21}$ using the 21 respective formulae 1 to 21 give in Table 3, see column 2 of Table 5,6,7 for the three respective designs A1, A2, A3. Then select the average power $P_{\text {avg }}$ predicted for each design option
- Calculate $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ using the respective $P_{\text {avg }}$ in formula 22 and 23. See $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ of column 3 of Table 5, 6 , and 7 respectively.
- Do similarly to above step for $v_{3}$ to $v_{11}$ using $P_{(L)}, P_{(B)}$, $P_{(D)}$, and $P_{(T)}$ being the average power with respect to the main dimensions, to the corresponding speeds $v_{3}$ to $v_{5}, v_{6}$ to $v_{7}, v_{8}$ to $v_{9}$, and $v_{10}$ to $v_{11}$ respectively shown in Table 5,6 , and 7 for each design option.
- Finally calculate the criterion power $P_{\text {crit }}$ requirement based on the power and speed criteria formula $V_{\text {crit }}$ which are derived for fishing vessel which has a regression coefficient $R^{2}=0.94185$, equation 33 and 34 respectively presented below as:

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{\text {crit }}= & 21.093 L-252.624 B+114.128 D+346.970 T \\
& +99.622 V+0.0389 L B T-179.014 \frac{L}{T}-891.651 \frac{D}{T} \\
& -89.627 \frac{B}{T}+0.1567 L B D+199.031 \frac{B}{D}-533.571 \frac{L}{B} \\
& +373.240 \frac{L}{D}+4589.493 \frac{F}{D} \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

and,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Vcrit }=\frac{X}{\left(-2 E-06 X^{2}+0.0743 X+9.0269\right)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

with regression coefficient $R^{2}=0.9917$.
where, $X=P_{\text {crit }}$ given above in (33).

## 3. Discussion and Results

The optimal best choice of projected design has to satisfy the following rules with respect to the main power $P_{(K w)}$ and speed $v_{(K n)}$ :

- A, Power $P$ should be the minimum alternative, but must have a lower value and minimum deviation from the criterion power $P_{\text {crit }}$ predicted.
- B, The average $v$ predicted should be higher than then the criterion $v$. This means that power $P$ is minimized while average speed $v$ is maximized.

The $P_{\text {crit }}, v_{\text {crit }}$ calculated, for instance, shown in Tables 5, 6, and 7 for three respective projected vessel sample dimensions, are compared with the $V_{\text {avg }}$ and $P_{\text {avg }}$ as well as the minimum $P_{(k w)}$. The vessel that meets A and B rules is the best vessel and in our example Table 5, 6 and 7 for A1, A2, and A3 options respectively, these are the results:

Taking:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\% P_{D E V}=\frac{100 \cdot\left(P-P_{c r i t}\right.}{P_{\text {crit }}} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where: $P_{\text {crit }}$ calculated from equation 33 above, $P_{(K n)}$ is the design minimum power from column 3 of Table 5, 6, 7. $v_{\text {crit }}=$ $v_{2}$. And $v_{(K n)}$ is the design $v_{\text {avg }}$

The best option in A2 vessel, A1 is also very good choice. A3 option is no longer in the race.

Table 1: Choosing the optimal design vessel from the final result

|  | $P_{K w}$ | $\% P_{\text {DEV }}$ | $V_{K n}$ | $V_{C R I T}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 1135.1 | -17.63 | 13.05 | 12.03 |
| A2 | 1274.5 | -12.73 | 12.63 | 11.99 |
| A3 | 1701.9 | -22.77 | 13.50 | 12.08 |

Further optimization method with respect to stability, and structural weight prognoses could be done between A1 and A2 to choose which one would be the best option.

## 4. Conclusion

This work has presented 35 regression equations based on 197 data from existing world fishing fleet for the preliminary calculation of main power $P$ and vessel speed $V$ of modern fishing vessels of various types. A new procedure for obtaining optimized value of $P$ and $V$ at the early stage of design is described with an example for main dimensions of three comparative design designated as A1, A2, and A3 for a projected vessel of 1000 tonnes deadweight. The approach presented can be used for production of ship design software for optimum preliminary design of ships in respect to main power and speed prediction.

Table 2: List of some of the fishing vessels used in the regression analysis

| S/N | Name of vessel | P(kw) | LBP(m) | B(m) | D(m) | T(m) | v (kn) | LBT(m3) | LBD(m3) | B/D | L/B etc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | " | 5215 | 106 | 17 | 10.2 | 7.32 | 16.5 | 13176 | 18372.6 | 1.67 | 6.23 |
| 2 |  | 1825.3 | 56.51 | 15.6 | 6.85 | 6.5 | 14.2 | 5730 | 6038.6 | 2.28 | 3.62 |
| 3 |  | 1005.8 | 45.91 | 8 | 4 | 3.7 | 12 | 1359 | 1469.25 | 2 | 5.74 |
| 4 | VZ10 | 1095.9 | 71.18 | 14 | 10 | 6.5 | 14 | 6477 | 9965.22 | 1.4 | 5.08 |
| 5 | JAS10 | 89.4 | 8.15 | 3.4 | 1.6 | 1 | 8 | 27.71 | 44.34 | 2.13 | 2.4 |
| 6 | DMX10 | 335.25 | 19.02 | 6.15 | 6.15 | 2.5 | 9 | 292.4 | 719.29 | 1 | 3.09 |
| 7 | MHL10 | 3352.5 | 93.01 | 16 | 9.9 | 6.8 | 18 | 10119 | 14732 | 1.62 | 5.81 |
| 8 | ASP10 | 596 | 28.07 | 8 | 6.25 | 6.07 | 10 | 1363 | 1403.68 | 1.28 | 3.51 |
| 9 | RAU10 | 800 | 30.34 | 8.84 | 6.4 | 3.35 | 11 | 898.4 | 1716.38 | 1.38 | 3.43 |
| 10 | DTR10 | 634.74 | 49.63 | 9.8 | 5 | 4.14 | 12 | 2013 | 2431.72 | 1.96 | 5.06 |
| 11 | AQUIILA | 3874 | 91.97 | 17 | 10.4 | 5.72 | 14.6 | 8944 | 16260.3 | 1.63 | 5.41 |
| 12 | ATRIA | 1280 | 85 | 15.6 | 9.7 | 5.6 | 15 | 7425.6 | 12862.2 | 1.61 | 5.45 |
| 13 | CARINA | 512 | 80 | 14.52 | 9.75 | 5.25 | 13 | 6098 | 11325.6 | 1.49 | 5.51 |
| 14 | FOKAB | 3725 | 80 | 14.14 | 9.75 | 5.36 | 14 | 6063 | 11029.2 | 1.45 | 5.66 |
|  |  |  | 117 | 17.8 | 10.2 | 7.32 | 16.5 | 15234 | 21242.5 | 1.75 | 6.57 |
| 140 | Gorizont |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 147 | Kerchanin | 167.63 | 22.38 | 6.49 | 3.04 | 2.27 | 9.5 | 329.71 | 441.55 | 2.13 | 3.45 |
| 148 | Kociewie | 7375.5 | 130 | 20 | 12.6 | 7.4 | 17 | 19240 | 32760 | 1.59 | 6.5 |
| 149 | Kronshtadt | 1490 | 79.43 | 14 | 10.01 | 5.61 | 12.5 | 6238 | 11131.3 | 1.4 | 5.67 |
| 184 | Fishing V | 223.5 | 15.26 | 5.26 | 2.6 | 1.83 | 10.2 | 146.89 | 208.7 | 2.02 | 2.9 |
| 185 | Fishing V | 171.35 | 16.7 | 5.5 | 2.43 | 1.6 | 9.8 | 146.96 | 223.2 | 2.26 | 3.04 |
| 186 | Kristall al | 7673.5 | 142 | 22.2 | 13.6 | 7.98 | 17.2 | 25140 | 42872.6 | 1.63 | 6.4 |
| 187 | LF RYB A | 234.68 | 37.98 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 2.1 | 9.1 | 574.26 | 875.06 | 2.25 | 5.28 |
| 188 | MRS-225 | 167.63 | 20.97 | 6 | 2.67 | 1.94 | 9 | 243.46 | 335.94 | 2.25 | 3.5 |
| 189 | RB-150 | 119.2 | 24 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 1.84 | 6 | 242.88 | 330 | 2.2 | 4.36 |
| 190 | Refrig Sein | 167.63 | 22.4 | 6.5 | 3.06 | 2.36 | 9.4 | 343.62 | 445.54 | 2.12 | 3.45 |
| 191 | Refrig T | 167.63 | 24.6 | 5.66 | 2.5 | 1.93 | 10 | 268.73 | 348.09 | 2.26 | 4.35 |
| 192 | Sola TR27 | 338.23 | 26.23 | 7.5 | 4 | 2.85 | 10.5 | 560.67 | 786.9 | 1.88 | 3.5 |
| 193 | $\mathrm{RS}=150$ | 111.75 | 24.6 | 5.5 | 2.5 | 1.7 | 8.5 | 229.33 | 338.25 | 2.2 | 4.47 |
| 194 | Storem 4 | 89.4 | 16.1 | 5.2 | 2.6 | 2.55 | 8.5 | 213.49 | 217.67 | 2 | 3.1 |
| 195 | Storem 4c | 89.4 | 16.1 | 5.3 | 2.6 | 2.55 | 8.6 | 217.59 | 221.86 | 2.04 | 3.04 |
| 196 | Storem 7 | 186.25 | 19.47 | 5.59 | 3 | 2.6 | 8.8 | 282.98 | 326.51 | 1.86 | 3.48 |
| 197 | Sohispan 1 | 465.63 | 25.48 | 7.5 | 5.39 | 3.6 | 10 | 687.96 | 1030.03 | 1.39 | 3.4 |

Figure 1: Power $P(K w)$ to Length $L(m)$ Regression


Figure 2: Power-Speed Ratio $P / V$ to Length $(m)$ Regression Formula


Figure 3: $\operatorname{Power}(K w)$ to $D(m), T(m), B(m), v(m)$ Regression


Figure 4: Power-Speed, Draft to length


Figure 5: Power to cubic length Regression


Figure 6: Power-Speed Ratio $P / V$ to $P$ Regression


Figure 8: Power $P-D, B$ to length Regression


Figure 10: Power $P$-Draft $T, \sqrt{L}$ to Length $L$


Figure 7: Speed $V$ to Main Power $P$ Regression


Figure 9: Power $P /$ Beam $B$ to Length $L(m)$ Regression


Figure 11: Power $P / V^{4 / 3}$ to $L$ Regression


Figure 12: Power $P$-Draft $T, \sqrt{L}$ to Length $L$

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | ${ }^{10} \mathbf{B}(\mathrm{~m}){ }^{20} \quad 30$ |

Figure 14: $P / B$, and $P / \sqrt{L}$ to Beam $B$ Regression


Figure 16: $P / \sqrt{T}$, and $P / \sqrt{V}$ to Beam $D$ Relation


Figure 13: $P / \sqrt{B}$, and $P / \sqrt{T}$ to Beam $B$ Regression


Figure 15: $P / v$, and $P / \sqrt{B}$ to Beam $B$ Regression


Figure 17: $P / v, P / B$, and $P / \sqrt{L}$ to Beam $D$ Regression


Figure 18: $P / \sqrt{B}, P / \sqrt{T}$, and $P / \sqrt{v}$ to Beam $T$ Regression



Figure 20: $P / B$ and $P / \sqrt{L}$ to Beam $T$ Regression


R2 $=$ Square Correlation coefficient.
1, Length L(m) Related Main Power P(Kw) Regression Derived Equations.
Let L $=$ X1, L $=$ Length Between Perpendiculars
$R 2=0.896, P 1=0.2811 X 12+16.049 X 1-138.76$
$R 2=0.886, P 2 / T=0.0701 X 12+10.124 X 1-42.911$
$R 2=0.884, P 3=6 E-16 X 16-3 E-09 X 15+0.0065 X 13+421.35$
$R 2=0.863, P 4=6 E-16 X 16-3 E-09 X 15+0.0065 X 13-421.35$
$R 2=0.865, P 5=0.0004 X 13-0.0554 X 12+13.579 X 1-144.05$
$R 2=0.842, P 6=6.7422 \times 1-22.248$
$R 2=0.801, P 7 / L=4.5837 X 1-28.344$
2. Breadth(moulded) $B(m)$ regression Derived Equations.

Let B = X2
$R 2=0.924, P 8=22.153 X 22-150.93 X 2+365.85$
$R 2=0.863, P 9 / T=1.4795 X 22+13.972 X 2-27.73$
$R 2=0.907, P 10 / T=6.04 X 22-5.5202 X 2-19.642$
$R 2=0.923, P 11 / B=5.0457 X 22-24.999 X 2+50.175$
$R 2=0.857, P 12 / L=33.9772 X 2-156.98$
$R 2=0.826, P 13 / B=18.596 X 2-72.998$
3. DEPTH(moulded) D(m) regression Derived Equations.

Let D = X3
$R 2=0.884, P 14=59.25 \times 32-332.55 \times 2+852.41$
$R 2=0.818, P 15 / T=166 X 3-368.52$
$R 2=0.806, P 16 / L=44.45 X 3$ ? $78.974 X 3$
4. DESIGN DRAFT T $(\mathrm{m})$ regression Derived Equations.

LET T = X4

$$
\begin{align*}
& R 2=0.896, P 17=148.37 X 42-432 X 1+409.77  \tag{17}\\
& R 2=0.884, P 18 / B=35.836 X 42-93.243 X 4+95.107  \tag{18}\\
& R 2=0.842, P 19 / T=044.228 X 42-69.608 X 4+82.639  \tag{19}\\
& R 2=0.871, P 20 / B=-1.6877 X 43+27.424 X 42-84.995 X 4+109.57  \tag{20}\\
& R 2=0.893, P 11 / L=-2.2452 X 43+40.908 X 42-129.71 X 4+161.82 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 4: Derived regression equation for vessel speed formulas as written in figures
FORMULAS FROM REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF 197 FISHING VESSELS DATA ON VESSELS SPEED $v(K n)$
$R^{2}=$ Square Correlation coefficient.

1, Main Power $P(K w)$ Relation with Fishing Vessels Speed $v(K n)$ Power to Speed ratio formulas.
$R^{2}=0.805, \quad v_{1}=1.9845 \cdot \ln (P)-1.5452$
$R^{2}=0.992, \quad P / v^{2}=-2 e^{-06} P 2+0.0743 P+0.0269$
2, Length $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{m})$ Related to Main Power $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Kw})$ to Vessel Speed Regression formulas.
$R^{2}=0.879, \quad P / v 3=3.260 X 1+42.036$
$R^{2}=0.890, \quad P / v 4=0.0581 X 12+5.6589 X 1-43.804$
$R^{2}=0.872, \quad P / V 54 / 3=0.0038 X 12+0.8133 X 1-4.2364$
$\mathrm{X} 1=\mathrm{L}$

3, Breadth $B(m)$ Related to Main Power $P(K w)$ to Vessel Speed Regression formulas.
$R^{2}=0.847, \quad P / v 6=1.5512 X 22.3064$
$R^{2}=0.879, \quad P / v 7=23.401 X 2-127$
$\mathrm{X} 2=\mathrm{B}$
4. Depth $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{m})$ Related to Main Power $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Kw})$ to Vessel Speed Regression formulas.
$R^{2}=0.892, \quad P / v 8=1.3304 X 33-15.055 X 32+119.64 X 1-162.75$
$R^{2}=0.832, \quad P / v 9=3.8034 X 31.7622$
$\mathrm{X} 3=\mathrm{D}$
5. Draft $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{m})$ Related to Main Power $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{Kw})$ to Vessel Speed Regression formulas.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R^{2}=0.872, & P / V 10=26.326 X 12-29.104 X 1+22.75 \\
R^{2}=0.887, & P / V 11=8.647 X 12-19.835 X 1+24.005 \tag{33}
\end{array}
$$

Table 5: Projected 100 tonnes deadweight fishing vessel. Design option A1 data analysis and result.

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 ~ A 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | VESSEL DATA | POWER $P($ Kw $)$ | SPEED $V($ Kn $)$ |
| 2 | $L(m)=53.40$ | $P_{1}=1519.81$ | $V_{1}=13.36$ |
| 3 | $B(m)=12.47$ | $P_{2}=1586.96$ | $V_{2}=12.06$ |
| 4 | $D(m)=7.58$ | $P_{3}=1409.82$ | $V_{3}=12.15$ |
| 5 | $T(m)=5.18$ | $P_{4}=1677.89$ | $V_{4}=14.32$ |
| 6 | $L / T=10.32$ | $P_{5}=1709.22$ | $V_{5}=13.48$ |
| 7 | $D / T=1.46$ | $P_{6}=1748.04$ | $V_{6}=13.66$ |
| 8 | $L B D=5048.58$ | $P_{7}=1581.54$ | $V_{7}=11.72$ |
| 9 | $L B T=3446.30$ | $P_{(L)}=1604.75$ | $V_{8}=13.72$ |
| 10 | $B / D=1.65$ | $P_{8}=1928.97$ | $V_{9}=12.58$ |
| 11 | $L / B=4.28$ | $P_{9}=1948.98$ | $V_{10}=12.90$ |
| 12 | $L / D=7.04$ | $P_{10}=1935.66$ | $V_{11}=13.55$ |
| 13 | $F / D=0.32$ | $P_{11}=1847.46$ | $V_{(a v g)}=13.05$ |
| 14 | $P(K w)=1335.16$ | $P_{12}=1949.26$ | $V_{\text {crit }}=12.03$ |
| 15 | $V(K n)=13.05$ | $P_{13}=1981.80$ |  |
| 16 |  | $P_{(B)}=1932.02$ |  |
| 17 | $P / V=102.31$ | $P_{14}=1736.54$ |  |
| 18 | $P_{C R I T}=1620.85$ | $P_{15}=2024.46$ |  |
| 19 | $P D E V=-285.69$ | $P_{16}=1335.16$ |  |
| 20 | $\% P D E V=-17.63$ | $P_{(D)}=1698.72$ |  |
| 21 |  | $P_{17}=2147.61$ |  |
| 22 |  | $P_{18}=2020.98$ |  |
| 23 |  | $P_{19}=2063.01$ |  |
| 24 |  | $P_{20}=2123.25$ |  |
| 25 |  | $P_{21}=2009.21$ |  |
| 26 |  | $P_{(T)}=2072.81$ |  |
| 27 |  | $P_{A V G}=1823.13$ |  |

Table 6: Projected 100 tonnes deadweight fishing vessel. Design option A2 data analysis and result.

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ A1 | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | VESSEL DATA | POWER $P($ Kw $)$ | SPEED $V($ Kn $)$ |
| 2 | $L(m)=48.686$ | $P_{1}=1308.88$ | $V_{1}=13.04$ |
| 3 | $B(m)=10.986$ | $P_{2}=1380.77$ | $V_{2}=12.02$ |
| 4 | $D(m)=7.740$ | $P_{3}=1170.64$ | $V_{3}=11.89$ |
| 5 | $T(m)=5.022$ | $P_{4}=1527.67$ | $V_{3}=14.12$ |
| 6 | $L / T=9.695$ | $P_{5}=1431.54$ | $V_{4}=13.23$ |
| 7 | $D / T=1.541$ | $P_{6}=1536.75$ | $V_{5}=13.46$ |
| 8 | $L B D=4139.85$ | $P_{7}=1359.35$ | $V_{6}=11.01$ |
| 9 | $L B T=2686.09$ | $P_{(L)}=1387.94$ | $V_{7}=13.20$ |
| 10 | $B / D=1.419$ | $P_{8}=1381.43$ | $V_{8}=12.41$ |
| 11 | $L / B=4.432$ | $P_{9}=1528.35$ | $V_{9}=11.60$ |
| 12 | $L / D=6.290$ | $P_{10}=1453.71$ | $V_{10}=12.92$ |
| 13 | $F / D=0.351$ | $P_{11}=1274.47$ | $V_{(\text {avg })}=12.63$ |
| 14 | $P(K w)=1274.47$ | $P_{12}=1509.18$ | $V_{\text {crit }}=11.99$ |
| 15 | $V(K n)=12.630$ | $P_{13}=1442.44$ |  |
| 16 |  | $P_{(B)}=1431.60$ |  |
| 17 | $P / V=100.91$ | $P_{14}=1828.00$ |  |
| 18 | $P_{C R I T}=1460.43$ | $P_{15}=2053.46$ |  |
| 19 | $P D E V=-186.0$ | $P_{16}=1331.18$ |  |
| 20 | $\% P D E V=-12.732$ | $P_{(D)}=1737.54$ |  |
| 21 |  | $P_{17}=1982.23$ |  |
| 22 |  | $P_{18}=1758.82$ |  |
| 23 |  | $P_{19}=1901.52$ |  |
| 24 |  | $P_{20}=1764.49$ |  |
| 25 |  | $P_{21}=1798.56$ |  |
| 26 |  | $P_{(T)}=1841.12$ |  |
| 27 |  | $P_{A V G}=1558.26$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 7: Projected 100 tonnes deadweight fishing vessel. Design option A3 data analysis and result.

| $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1 ~ A 1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | VESSEL DATA | POWER $P(K w)$ | SPEED $V($ Kn $)$ |
| 2 | $L(m)=62.04$ | $P_{1}=1939.00$ | $V_{1}=13.805$ |
| 3 | $B(m)=12.12$ | $P_{2}=2076.91$ | $V_{2}=12.07$ |
| 4 | $D(m)=9.41$ | $P_{3}=1970.95$ | $V_{3}=12.99$ |
| 5 | $T(m)=5.90$ | $P_{4}=2206.63$ | $V_{4}=15.367$ |
| 6 | $L / T=10.52$ | $P_{5}=2022.00$ | $V_{5}=14.143$ |
| 7 | $D / T=1.59$ | $P_{6}=2336.74$ | $V_{6}=15.092$ |
| 8 | $L B D=7078.29$ | $P_{7}=2016.78$ | $V_{7}=12.141$ |
| 9 | $L B T=4438.04$ | $P_{(L)}=2081.29$ | $V_{8}=12.62$ |
| 10 | $B / D=1.29$ | $P_{8}=1792.27$ | $V_{9}=13.276$ |
| 11 | $L / B=5.12$ | $P_{9}=2118.93$ | $V_{10}=13.654$ |
| 12 | $L / D=6.59$ | $P_{10}=1946.25$ | $V_{11}=13.634$ |
| 13 | $F / D=0.37$ | $P_{11}=1701.84$ | $V_{(a v g)}=13.50$ |
| 14 | $P(K w)=1701.85$ | $P_{12}=2008.23$ | $V_{\text {crit }}=12.08$ |
| 15 | $V(K n)=13.50$ | $P_{13}=1848.42$ |  |
| 16 |  | $P_{(B)}=1902.66$ |  |
| 17 | $P / V=126.06$ | $P_{14}=2969.59$ |  |
| 18 | $P_{C R I T}=2203.63$ | $P_{15}=2899.10$ |  |
| 19 | $P D E V=-501.79$ | $P_{16}=2001.87$ |  |
| 20 | $\% P D E V=-22.77$ | $P_{(D)}=2623.52$ |  |
| 21 |  | $P_{17}=3025.73$ |  |
| 22 |  | $P_{18}=2759.19$ |  |
| 23 |  | $P_{19}=2942.79$ |  |
| 24 |  | $P_{20}=2620.13$ |  |
| 25 |  | $P_{21}=2831.07$ |  |
| 26 |  | $P_{(T)}=2835.78$ |  |
| 27 |  | $P_{A V G}=2287.35$ |  |
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