JOURNAL OF MARITIME RESEARCH

Vol XXII. No. II (2025) pp 228–238

ISSN: 1697-4840, www.jmr.unican.es

The Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme: A Comprehensive Analysis of Its 3-in-1 Infrastructure and Associated Risks

Goh Chin Guan[1], Omar Faruqi Marzuki[1,2,*], Ellie Yi Lih Teo[1,2], Pang Hung Yiu[1], Mohd Ibrani Shahrimin Adam Assim[3], Nor Mariah Adam[4]

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 03 Oct 2024;
in revised from 10 Oct 2024;
accepted 15 Nov 2024.

Keywords:
Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme, Integrated infrastructure, Risk management, Environmental impact, Socio-economic considerations.

ABSTRACT

The Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme (SSRS) represents a pioneering infrastructure initiative in Sarawak, Malaysia, designed to integrate a barrage, shiplock, and bridge into a cohesive 3-in-1 structure. This innovative approach aims to simultaneously address the region's flood mitigation, regulation of water levels upriver inland water navigation, and transportation needs, thereby enhancing economic development and community resilience. However, the complexity of this integrated system introduces a variety of risks and challenges, spanning technical, environmental, and socio-economic domains. This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the SSRS, elucidating the multifaceted risks associated with the project and proposing a set of actionable, evidence-based recommendations to mitigate these risks effectively. By adopting a holistic perspective, the paper aims to contribute to the successful implementation and sustainable management of the SSRS, ensuring its long-term benefits for the public and environment of Sarawak.

1. Introduction.

Pilots The Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme (SSRS) represents a significant infrastructure endeavor aimed at addressing the multifaceted challenges associated with the Sarawak River in Malaysia. By regulating the river's flow, the SSRS seeks to enhance flood control measures (Chin Guan et al. 2021, 2022), a critical concern given the region's susceptibility to seasonal flooding which can result in substantial economic and social impacts (Kuok, Mersal, et al. 2022; Isia et al. 2023). Furthermore, the scheme is designed to facilitate inland water river navigation, thereby supporting the local economy by improving transport routes for goods and services (Pieron et al. 2022). Additionally, the integration of a road bridge within the structure serves to improve road connectivity, linking communities and fostering regional development (Ali et al. 2022; Chin Guan et al. 2022).

The innovative design of the SSRS, which combines a barrage, shiplock, and bridge within a single infrastructure, is a testament to modern engineering and strategic planning. This 3-in-1 approach not only optimizes the use of space but also addresses multiple needs simultaneously, making it a model for integrated infrastructure development (M. Abdullah et al. 2019; Chin Guan et al. 2021, 2022). However, the complexity of this design presents unique challenges (Ren et al. 2021). The interdependence of the barrage, shiplock, and bridge components means that any issue with one element can potentially affect the functionality of the others. For example, sediment accumulation at the barrage can impact the efficiency of the shiplock (Ezzeldin et al. 2019), while maintenance requirements for the

[1]Department of Science and Technology, Faculty of Humanities, Management and Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
[2]Institut EkoSains Borneo, Universiti Putra Malaysia, Jalan Nyabau, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
[3]Department of Social Science and Management, Faculty of Humanities, Management and Science, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 97008 Bintulu, Sarawak, Malaysia.
[4]Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.
*Corresponding author: Omar Faruqi Marzuki. E-mail Address: omar_faruqi@upm.edu.my.

bridge could disrupt both river and road traffic.

Given these intricacies, the management of the SSRS requires a comprehensive approach that encompasses technical, environmental, and socio-economic considerations. Ensuring the long-term success and sustainability of the SSRS demands meticulous planning, regular monitoring, and adaptive management strategies to address any emerging issues. The importance of this infrastructure project to the region's development and well-being underscores the need for a careful balance between maximizing its benefits and minimizing potential risks.

2. Risks Associated with the SSRS.

i. Technical and Engineering Risks

(a) Complexity of Design:
The SSRS is a marvel of modern engineering, combining a barrage, shiplock, and bridge into a single, cohesive structure. This integrated design, while innovative, presents considerable engineering challenges. The successful implementation of such a complex system requires a multidisciplinary approach, involving civil, structural, hydraulic, and mechanical engineering expertise (Dhanuka et al. 2018) and not forgetting the maritime professionals in the safe and efficient operation and management of the ship lock and barrage. Precision in design and construction is paramount, as any miscalculations or deviations from the planned specifications can lead to inefficiencies or, in the worst case, structural failures. The coordination of different functional components within a structure adds an additional layer of complexity, necessitating careful planning and execution (Castro-Orgaz 2021).

(b) Maintenance and Operational Challenges:
The multifunctional nature of the SSRS requires a comprehensive and well-structured maintenance regime to ensure its smooth operation. Each component of the structure, from the barrage gates to the shiplock mechanisms and the bridge's load-bearing elements, demands regular inspection and upkeep. The interdependence of these components means that any malfunction or degradation in one part can have a ripple effect, impacting the overall functionality of the SSRS. Developing and implementing an effective maintenance schedule is critical to prevent operational disruptions and extend the lifespan of the infrastructure (Mohamed & Khalil 2018; Tadda et al. 2020; Van Dang & Rigo 2023). The safe and efficient operation of the shiplock hinges on the expertise of the lock master and operators, whose precision in decision-making is crucial for maneuvering and managing the barrage and ship lock gates. Determining the optimal time to close the barrage gates to achieve desired water levels is a complex task that demands continuous monitoring of rainfall and water levels across the 1,400 square kilometer catchment area. A telemetry system, equipped with 24 strategically positioned stations along the riverbanks, plays a vital role in this process. Providing live updates on water levels and rainfall every 15 minutes, this system is instrumental in facilitating accurate and timely decisions essential for the effective management of the shiplock.

(c) Structural Integrity:

The long-term structural integrity of the SSRS is of utmost importance, given its crucial role in flood mitigation, navigation, and transportation. Any compromise in the structure's integrity can have catastrophic consequences, not only endangering lives but also causing significant economic and environmental damage. Factors such as corrosion, wear and tear, and environmental impacts like flooding or earthquakes need to be carefully considered in the design and ongoing maintenance of the SSRS. Regular structural health monitoring, using advanced technologies such as sensors and drones, can help detect early signs of deterioration and allow for timely interventions to prevent major failures (Zhao et al. 2021; Mohd Radzi et al. 2023).

ii. Environmental Risks.

(a) Ecosystem Disruption:

The construction and operation of the SSRS have the potential to significantly alter the natural environment of the Sarawak River and its surroundings. The modification of river flow and water levels due to the barrage can disrupt the habitats of aquatic species, leading to changes in biodiversity and the displacement of species. Terrestrial ecosystems adjacent to the river may also be affected, as changes in water levels can alter floodplain dynamics, impacting flora and fauna that depend on specific hydrological conditions. Mitigating these impacts requires careful environmental assessment and the implementation of conservation measures to preserve or restore affected habitats (Zhang et al. 2022; Islam et al. 2022; Ezugwu et al. 2022; R. Kumar 2023). The presence of crocodiles along the riverbanks, both upriver and downriver, particularly when water levels are lowered to minus 0.5 meters, is a common occurrence. This regular sighting has prompted multiple postings to alert the public and river users. In 2024, an especially notable incident occurred when a 6-meter crocodile was observed within the shiplock (Fig. 1). The following day, this same crocodile was seen moving downriver, highlighting the ongoing interactions between wildlife and river management activities.

Figure 1: Incident at the SSRS shiplock captured attention when a 6-meter crocodile was sighted within the confines of the shiplock.

Source: Authors.

(b) Water Quality:
The regulation of river flow by the SSRS can have a direct impact on water quality. Stagnant water behind the barrage can lead to decreased oxygen levels and increased temperatures, creating conditions that are unfavorable for aquatic life. Additionally, changes in water flow can affect the natural flushing of pollutants, potentially leading to the accumulation of harmful substances in the river. This can have implications for both aquatic ecosystems and human health, particularly for communities that rely on the river for drinking water, fishing, or agriculture. Continuous monitoring of water quality and the implementation of pollution control measures are essential to address these concerns (Hommadi et al. 2020; Arunpandi et al. 2020; Ghemmit-Doulache & Ouslimani 2021; J. Kumar et al. 2022). Contractually, the operator is required to perform monthly water quality assessments in accordance with the Environmental Quality Act of 1974. The results typically fall into one of the following categories: Class IIB, which is suitable for recreational use involving body contact, or Class III, which indicates that the water requires extensive treatment for use as a water supply, supports common fish species of economic value and tolerance, and is suitable for livestock drinking, as detailed in Table 1.

(c) Sedimentation:
Sedimentation downstream of the infrastructure is seen at the south side of the infrastructure and along both the causeways. Sandbars which had hindered navigation upriver had all disappeared and the main river has deepened. Over time, sedimentation can reduce the storage capacity of the reservoir and affect the efficiency of the shiplock, potentially hindering navigation. Moreover, excessive sedimentation can impact the operation of barrage, if present, and increase the risk of flooding upstream. Regular dredging is often necessary to remove accumulated sediments and maintain the functionality of the SSRS. However, dredging activities must be carefully managed to minimize environmental impacts, such as habitat disturbance and the resuspension of pollutants (Khan et al. 2018; Ren et al. 2021; Biegowski et al. 2022; F.-Z. Lee et al. 2022; Gupta et al. 2022).

Table 1: SSRS monthly water quality sampling results.

Month	National Water Quality Standards for Malaysia, SSRS 2023 Percentage of Compliances (%)		Department of Environment (DOE) Water Quality Classification
	Class III	Class IIB	
Jan	86.67	80	Class III
Feb	81.67	71.67	Class III
Mar	80	75	Class III
Apr	85	66.67	Class III
May	95	65	Class II
Jun	95	73.33	Class II
Jul	83.33	75	Class II
Aug	90	71.67	Class II
Sep	93.33	65	Class II
Oct	81.67	78.33	Class II
Nov	73.33	81.67	Class II
Dec	90	70	Class II

Source: Authors.

iii. Socio-Economic Risks.

(a) Displacement of Communities:
The construction of the SSRS can lead to the displacement of communities living in areas designated for the project or affected by changes in the river's flow. This displacement can have profound impacts on the livelihoods of these communities, as they may lose access to agricultural land, fishing grounds, and other natural resources. Additionally, the relocation process can disrupt social networks and cultural heritage, as communities may be moved to areas with different social and cultural dynamics. Addressing these impacts requires careful planning and consultation with affected communities to ensure that they are adequately compensated and supported during the relocation process (W. C. Lee et al. 2014; Ahsan 2016; Sahoo & Jojo 2020; Chin Guan et al. 2022). Before the implementation of the

SSRS, communities residing along the riverbanks built their homes on stilts to cope with the extremely high tides that occurred fortnightly, coinciding with the new and full moons. These high tides lasted for approximately two weeks. With the establishment of the barrage and the regulation of water levels to a "safe" height (0.5 meters above Mean Sea Level), these communities have expressed satisfaction with the newly stabilized water levels. This contentment persists despite the history of significant flooding events in 2003, 2004, and 2009, as the regulated levels generally provide more predictable and manageable living conditions.

(b) Impact on Fisheries:
The SSRS can significantly alter the hydrological regime of the Sarawak River, which in turn can affect the river's fisheries. Changes in water flow and quality can disrupt spawning and feeding patterns of fish, leading to declines in fish populations. This can have a direct impact on the livelihoods of local communities that depend on fishing for income and food security. To mitigate these impacts, it is essential to monitor changes in fish populations and implement measures such as fish passage facilities or habitat restoration to support the sustainability of fisheries (Karim & Bindra 2016; Paramasivam et al. 2019; Yoshida et al. 2020; Doria et al. 2021; Chin Guan et al. 2022; Sun et al. 2023).

(c) Transportation Disruption:
The construction and maintenance of the SSRS can lead to disruptions in transportation networks, particularly if the project affects key road or river routes. Traffic diversions and delays can have economic implications, affecting the flow of goods and services in the region (Kim et al. 2018; Smith et al. 2021; Torti et al. 2022). For riverine communities, changes in the river's navigability can impact their ability to travel and transport goods (Hooper & Austen 2013; Chin Guan et al. 2022). Effective communication and coordination with transportation authorities and affected communities are crucial to minimize disruptions and ensure alternative routes are available (Rodrigue 2016; Kemmerer et al. 2023). Before the construction of the SSRS in 1998, the riverine community, including shipping, fishing, "tambang" (primitive crafts), and other waterborne crafts, enjoyed the freedom to navigate the river at their discretion. However, the initiation of the SSRS in January 1998 restricted this freedom, leading to significant objections from all riverine users. Despite initial resistance, the community has since adapted to the new regulations, which maintain a safe water level of 8.5 meters at the barrage, or 0.5 meters above Mean Sea Level (MSL). This regulated level allows for efficient drainage from Kuching City into the Sarawak River during periods of heavy rainfall, effectively preventing instances of flash flooding and contributing to overall city flood management.

3. Mitigation Strategies.

Mitigating the risks associated with the SSRS is essential to ensure its successful implementation and long-term sustainability. Effective mitigation strategies must address the technical, environmental, and socio-economic challenges posed by the project, ensuring that its benefits are maximized while minimizing any negative impacts. In this section, this paper will explore a range of mitigation measures, from robust design and construction practices to community engagement initiatives and environmental management plans. These strategies are crucial for navigating the complexities of the SSRS and achieving a balance between development goals and the preservation of natural and social systems.

i. Technical and Engineering Measures.

(a) Robust Design and Construction:
The success of the SSRS heavily relies on its design and construction phase. Utilizing advanced engineering techniques and materials is crucial to ensure the structural integrity and longevity of the project. This involves adopting state-of-the-art design methodologies, such as computer-aided design (CAD) and finite element analysis (FEA) (Lin & Chen 2021; Žvanut 2022; Sobótka et al. 2023), to accurately model and analyze the complex interactions between the barrage, shiplock, and bridge components. The selection of materials should also consider factors such as durability, resistance to corrosion, and suitability for the local environment (Ijadi Maghsoodi et al. 2019; Farshbaf Aghajani et al. 2022; Alapati et al. 2022). By prioritizing robust design and construction, the SSRS can achieve a high level of resilience against physical stresses and environmental factors, ensuring its functionality and safety for decades to come.

(b) Regular Maintenance and Inspections:
To maintain the operational efficiency and safety of the SSRS, a comprehensive maintenance schedule must be established. This involves routine inspections and servicing of critical components, such as the barrage gates, shiplock mechanisms, and bridge bearings, to ensure they are in good working condition. Regular inspections can help detect potential issues early, allowing for timely interventions before they escalate into major problems. The use of modern monitoring technologies, such as sensors and remote monitoring systems, can enhance the effectiveness of maintenance efforts by providing real-time data on the condition of the infrastructure (J. Chen & Chen 2019; Adamo et al. 2020; Tadda et al. 2020; Brachaczek et al. 2023; Van Dang & Rigo 2023; Negi et al. 2024).

(c) Emergency Response Plans:
Despite robust design and regular maintenance, unforeseen events such as extreme weather conditions, equipment failures, or accidents can still occur. To minimize the impact of such incidents, it is essential to develop and implement comprehensive emergency response plans. These plans should outline clear procedures for responding to different types of emergencies, including the roles and responsibilities of personnel, communication protocols, and evacuation procedures. Regular drills and training sessions should be conducted to ensure that all stakeholders are familiar with the emergency response procedures and can act swiftly and effectively in case of an emergency (Judek et al. 2019; J. Mao et al. 2017; Mehta et al. 2020; Muda et al. 2021; Tang & Huang 2024).

ii. Environmental Management

(a) Ecosystem Monitoring and Restoration:
The SSRS has the potential to alter the natural ecosystems surrounding the Sarawak River. To mitigate these impacts, it is essential to implement comprehensive ecosystem monitoring programs. These programs should regularly assess the health of aquatic and terrestrial habitats, track changes in biodiversity, and monitorize the populations of key species (Zhang et al. 2022; Q. Chen et al. 2023; R. Kumar 2023). Based on the findings (Guo et al. 2020; Li et al. 2022; Pirali zefrehei et al. 2022; Yoon et al. 2022), restoration activities can be undertaken to rehabilitate affected areas. This may include reforestation, wetland restoration, or the creation of artificial habitats to support displaced species. By actively monitoring and restoring ecosystems, the SSRS can minimize its environmental footprint and contribute to the conservation of local biodiversity.

(b) Water Quality Management:
Maintaining water quality is crucial for the health of the river ecosystem and the well-being of communities that depend on the Sarawak River. To address potential water quality issues arising from the SSRS, the installation of water treatment facilities may be necessary. These facilities can help remove pollutants and ensure that water released from the barrage meets environmental standards (Iloms et al. 2020; Kuok, Chiu, et al. 2022; Omar et al. 2022). Additionally, promoting sustainable practices upstream, such as reducing agricultural runoff and controlling industrial discharges, can prevent water quality degradation at its source. Regular water quality monitoring is also essential to detect any changes and respond promptly to potential issues (Abdel-Satar et al. 2017; J. Kumar et al. 2022; Tiwari et al. 2022).

(c) Sediment/Scouring Management:
Sediment accumulation in the reservoir behind the barrage can impact the efficiency of the shiplock and increase the risk of flooding. Developing effective sediment management strategies is therefore critical for the long-term operation of the SSRS. This may involve regular dredging to remove accumulated sediments and maintain the depth of the reservoir. Additionally, implementing upstream erosion control measures, such as reforestation and soil conservation practices, can reduce the amount of sediment entering the river. By managing sediment effectively, the SSRS can maintain its functionality and reduce the environmental impacts associated with sedimentation (Kondolf et al. 2014; Ji et al. 2016; Shrestha et al. 2021; F.-Z. Lee et al. 2022; Jameel et al. 2022). Regular surveys of the areas upstream and downstream of the barrage are to be conducted regularly to ascertain the equilibrium of the river. Over the past 25 years, scouring issues have been observed both downriver and upriver due to the operation of the SSRS. Initially identified in 2000, the downriver scouring prompted remedial actions aimed at accommodating operational demands from the shipping and fishing industries. These industries required shipyard repairs upriver, which led to adjustments in the barrage gates to regulate water levels. Specifically, the gates were positioned about 2 meters above the sill to facilitate desilting, reducing upriver water levels by approximately 0.1 meters per hour. However, this operation caused vessels navigating the shiplock from upriver to drift toward the barrage gates and generated a strong jet of water that accelerated past the gate sill, contributing to ongoing scouring. Remedial efforts were undertaken twice downriver, but scouring persisted, likely as a natural response of the river to the infrastructure obstructing its flow. The phenomenon was marked by whirling surface flows observable during the ebb tide downriver of the barrage gates, extending to the shiplock gates during flood tide, leading to sediment accumulation on the southern bank. Upriver, the continuous closure of the barrage gates during mid-tide to maintain desired water levels also caused problems. In the initial two years, the simultaneous closure of all gates generated mini "tidal waves," disrupting the fishing trawler lagoon and breaking mooring lines of vessels docked there. To mitigate this, a new sequence for closing the gates—either three-one-four-two (3-1-4-2) or one-four-three-two (1-4-3-2)—was implemented to lessen the backflow effect during ebbing tides. In April 2024, a comprehensive investigation into these scouring issues was launched, encompassing topographic, bathymetric, and Lidar surveys of the affected areas. This study aims to better understand and address the complex interactions between the SSRS operations and river dynamics (Kasim et al. 2022; Suhaili 1999).

iii. Socio-Economic Considerations.

(a) Community Engagement and Compensation:
The construction and operation of the SSRS can have significant impacts on local communities, particularly those living in close proximity to the project site. To address these impacts, it is crucial to engage with affected communities throughout the project's lifecycle. This engagement should involve open and transparent communication, providing communities with information about the project and its potential impacts, and involving them in decision-making processes. For those who are directly affected by land acquisition or other disruptions, fair compensation and resettlement options must be provided. This could include financial compensation, assistance in finding new homes, and support for transitioning to new livelihoods. By prioritizing community engagement and compensation, the SSRS can foster positive relationships with local communities and ensure that their needs and concerns are addressed (Wang et al. 2013; A.-N. Abdullah & Rahman 2021; Singto et al. 2022).

(b) Sustainable Fisheries Programs:
The regulation of the Sarawak River's flow by the SSRS can affect fisheries, which are a vital source of income and food for local populations. To mitigate these impacts, it is important to implement programs that support sustainable fisheries. This could involve measures such as the creation of fish passages to maintain fish migration routes, the restocking of fish populations, and the promotion of sustainable fishing practices. Additionally, providing alternative livelihood options for affected fishers can help them adapt to changes in the river's ecosystem. This might include training programs for aquaculture, agriculture, or other income - generating activities. By supporting sustainable fisheries and alternative livelihoods, the SSRS can contribute to the economic resilience of local communities (Mccartney et al. 2018; Runde et al. 2020; Cooke et al. 2023; Q. Chen et al. 2023).

(c) Transportation Planning:
The construction and maintenance of the SSRS can disrupt local and regional transportation networks, particularly if the project affects key road or river routes. To minimize these disruptions, it is essential to coordinate construction and maintenance activities with transportation planning. This could involve scheduling work during off-peak hours, providing alternative routes for traffic, and ensuring clear communication with the public about any expected delays or diversions (X. Mao et al. 2020; Desai et al. 2022; Torti et al. 2022). For riverine communities, maintaining navigability of the river is crucial, so measures should be taken to ensure that the shiplock operates efficiently and that any closures are brief and well-communicated (Aghamohammadghasem et al. 2023; Creech et al. 2023; Vilarinho et al. 2024). By integrating transportation planning into the project's management, the SSRS can reduce its impact on transportation networks and maintain the flow of goods and people in the region.

4. Recommendations.

The successful implementation of the SSRS requires not only the identification and mitigation of risks but also the adoption of forward-looking recommendations to enhance its effectiveness and sustainability. In this section, this paper will present a series of recommendations that build on the insights gained from the analysis of the SSRS. These recommendations are designed to guide future actions, ensuring that the project maximizes its potential benefits while addressing the challenges it faces. From establishing oversight mechanisms to investing in research and innovation, these recommendations aim to provide a roadmap for the continued success and improvement of the SSRS.

i. Establish a Multidisciplinary Oversight Committee (Loucks & van Beek 2017; ASDSO & FEMA 2022):
The complexity and multifaceted nature of the SSRS necessitates the formation of a multidisciplinary oversight committee. This committee should comprise experts from various fields, including engineering, environmental science, social sciences, and economics, to ensure a comprehensive approach to the project's management. The committee's role would be to oversee the implementation of the SSRS, coordinate activities among different stakeholders, including government agencies, local communities, and private sector partners, and ensure that the project's objectives are met while minimizing negative impacts.

ii. Invest in Research and Innovation (Martać et al. 2020; Gomes et al. 2020; Quaranta & Davies 2022; Jia et al. 2023):
The SSRS presents an opportunity to advance the field of water resource management through research and innovation. Investing in research can lead to the development of new technologies and methods that enhance the design, operation, and maintenance of the SSRS. This could include innovations in materials science for improved structural durability, advancements in hydraulic engineering for more efficient water flow management, and the application of information technology for better monitoring and control systems. By fostering a culture of innovation, the SSRS can not only improve its own performance but also contribute to the broader field of sustainable infrastructure development.

iii. Enhance Public Awareness and Participation (Makmor et al. 2020; Connolly 2020; Dopico et al. 2022):
Public awareness and participation are crucial for the success and acceptance of the SSRS. Efforts should be made to educate the public about the purpose, benefits, and

potential impacts of the project. This can be achieved through community meetings, informational campaigns, and educational programs. Furthermore, involving the community in decision-making processes, such as through public consultations and participatory planning sessions, can ensure that local perspectives and concerns are taken into account. By fostering a sense of ownership and involvement among the public, the SSRS can build stronger community support and enhance its social sustainability.

iv. Monitor and Adapt (Siegmund-Schultze et al. 2018; Fu et al. 2019; Chin Guan et al. 2021; Basheer et al. 2023; Gautam & Dugar 2020):
Continuous monitoring of the SSRS's impacts on the environment, society, and economy is essential to ensure its long-term sustainability. This monitoring should be based on a set of clear indicators that cover all relevant aspects of the project. The data collected through monitoring can then be used to inform adaptive management strategies, allowing for adjustments to be made in response to emerging challenges or changing conditions. This approach ensures that the SSRS remains responsive to the needs of the environment and the community, and that it can adapt to future uncertainties.

Conclusions.

The Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme (SSRS), with its innovative integration of a barrage, shiplock, and bridge, stands as a testament to modern engineering and strategic planning aimed at addressing the multifaceted challenges of the Sarawak River. The scheme's potential to enhance flood control, facilitate navigation, and improve road connectivity can bring significant benefits to the region, contributing to its economic development and the well-being of its communities.

However, the complexity of the SSRS also brings with it a range of technical, environmental, and socio-economic risks that require diligent management and mitigation. Technical challenges such as the structural integrity of the combined infrastructure, environmental concerns like ecosystem disruption and water quality, and socio-economic issues including community displacement and impacts on fisheries, all demand a comprehensive and proactive approach.

To ensure the long-term success and sustainability of the SSRS, it is crucial to adopt a holistic approach that encompasses all facets of the project. This involves not only rigorous engineering and technical management but also a strong commitment to environmental stewardship and social responsibility. Engaging with local communities, monitoring environmental impacts, and implementing adaptive management strategies are key components of this approach.

By addressing these challenges head-on and leveraging the opportunities presented by the SSRS, it is possible to achieve a balanced outcome that maximizes the benefits of this ambitious project while minimizing its negative impacts. The success of the SSRS will depend on the continued collaboration and dedication of all stakeholders involved, from government authorities and engineers to local communities and environmental organizations.

In conclusion, the Sungai Sarawak Regulation Scheme represents a significant step forward in managing the Sarawak River's resources and challenges. With careful management and a commitment to sustainability, the SSRS can serve as a model for integrated water resource management in similar contexts around the world, showcasing the potential for infrastructure to harmonize with environmental and social considerations.

References.

Abdel-Satar, A. M., Ali, M. H., & Goher, M. E. (2017). Indices of water quality and metal pollution of Nile River, Egypt. The Egyptian Journal of Aquatic Research, 43(1), 21–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejar.2016.12.006.

Abdullah, A.-N., & Rahman, S. (2021). Social Impacts of a Mega-Dam Project as Perceived by Local, Resettled and Displaced Communities: A Case Study of Merowe Dam, Sudan. Economies, 9(4), 140. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies9040140.

Abdullah, M., Al-Ansari, N., & Laue, J. (2019). Water Resources Projects in Iraq: Barrages. Journal of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering.

Adamo, N., Al-Ansari, N., Sissakian, V., Laue, J., & Knutsson, S. (2020). Dams Safety: Inspections, Safety Reviews, and Legislations. Journal of Earth Sciences and Geotechnical Engineering, 109–143. https://doi.org/10.47260/jesge/1114.

Aghamohammadghasem, M., Azucena, J., Liao, H., Zhang, S., & Nachtmann, H. (2023). Preventive Maintenance Planning for an Inland Waterway Transportation System Using Deep Reinforcement Learning.

Ahsan, R. (2016). Mega-Infrastructure Development– Induced Displacement in East Malaysia: A Study of Social Sustainability. Malaysia Sustainable Cities Program, Working Paper Series. http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.22348.46728.

Alapati, P., Moradllo, M. K., Berke, N., Ley, M. T., & Kurtis, K. E. (2022). Designing corrosion resistant systems with alternative cementitious materials. Cement, 8, 100029. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cement.2022.100029.

Ali, M., Suma, S., Islam, M., & Hadiuzzaman, M. (2022). The Padma Multipurpose Bridge: A Link towards a Prosperous Future through Connectivity and Mobility. MIST International Journal of Science and Technology, 10, 01–07. https://doi.org/10.47981/j.mijst.10(02)2022.385(01-07).

Arunpandi, N., Jyothibabu, R., Jagadeesan, L., Parthasarathi, S., Albin, K. J., & Pandiyarajan, R. S. (2020). Impact of a large hydraulic barrage on the trace metals concentration in mesozooplankton in the Kochi backwaters, along the Southwest coast of India. Marine Pollution Bulletin, 160, 111568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2020.111568.

ASDSO, & FEMA. (2022). Model State Dam Safety Program Manual. Association of State Dam Safety Officials and Federal Emergency Management Agency.

Basheer, M., Nechifor, V., Calzadilla, A., Gebrechorkos, S., Pritchard, D., Forsythe, N., Gonzalez, J. M., Sheffield, J., Fowler, H. J., & Harou, J. J. (2023). Cooperative adaptive management of the Nile River with climate and socio-economic uncertainties. Nature Climate Change, 13(1), 48–57. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-022-01556-6.

Biegowski, J., Robakiewicz, M., Woś, K., & Wrzosek, K. (2022). Sediment Transport Management Using the Planned Construction of the Lower Vistula Cascade as an Example. Energies, 15(5), 1689. https://doi.org/10.3390/en15051689.

Brachaczek, W., University of Bielsko-Biała, Poland, Gałuszka, A., & University of Bielsko-Biała, Poland. (2023). Repair of concretes in the underwater part of a water barrage. Construction of Optimized Energy Potential, 12(2023.12), 116–123. https://doi.org/10.17512/bozpe.2023.12.13.

Castro-Orgaz, O. (2021). Review of Hydraulic Engineering of Dams. Journal of Hydraulic Engineering, 147(12), 07521002. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HY.1943-7900.0001950.

Chen, J., & Chen, Y. (2019). Research on Corrosion Detection and Assessment Method for Hydraulic Concrete Structures. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 300(5), 052045. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/300/5/052045.

Chen, Q., Li, Q., Lin, Y., Zhang, J., Xia, J., Ni, J., Cooke, S. J., Best, J., He, S., Feng, T., Chen, Y., Tonina, D., Benjankar, R., Birk, S., Fleischmann, A. S., Yan, H., & Tang, L. (2023). River Damming Impacts on Fish Habitat and Associated Conservation Measures. Reviews of Geophysics, 61(4), e2023RG000819. https://doi.org/10.1029/2023RG000819.

Chin Guan, G., Marzuki, O. F., Hung, Y., Teo, E., & Adam, N. (2021). Management of Risk at The Sungai Sarawak Barrage. International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences, 11. https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARBSS/v11-i17/11401.

Chin Guan, G., Marzuki, O. F., Teo, E., Yiu, P. H., & Adam, N. (2022). Sungai Sarawak Barrage, Risk and Implications to the Community. Social Sciences & Humanities Conference 2022 (SSHR 22).

Connolly, M. (2020). Public Awareness and Opinions Towards the Proposed River Mersey Tidal Power Scheme. Routes, 1(1), 120–131.

Cooke, S. J., Fulton, E. A., Sauer, W. H. H., Lynch, A. J., Link, J. S., Koning, A. A., Jena, J., Silva, L. G. M., King, A. J., Kelly, R., Osborne, M., Nakamura, J., Preece, A. L., Hagiwara, A., Forsberg, K., Kellner, J. B., Coscia, I., Helyar, S., Barange, M., … Gillanders, B. M. (2023). Towards vibrant fish populations and sustainable fisheries that benefit all: learning from the last 30 years to inform the next 30 years. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 33(2), 317–347. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11-160-023-09765-8.

Creech, C., Mosselman, E., Hiver, J.-M., & Huber, N. (2023). Sustainable Management of the Navigability of Natural Rivers (PIANC WG 236). In Y. Li, Y. Hu, P. Rigo, F. E. Lefler, & G. Zhao (Eds.), Proceedings of PIANC Smart Rivers 2022 (pp. 232–242). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6138-0_21.

Desai, J., Scholer, B., Mathew, J. K., Li, H., & Bullock, D. M. (2022). Analysis of Route Choice During Planned and Unplanned Road Closures. IEEE Open Journal of Intelligent Transportation Systems, 3, 489–502. https://doi.org/10.1109/OJ-ITS.2022.3183928.

Dhanuka, A., Agrawal, S. K., & Mehra, H. (2018). Hydraulic and Structural Design of Navigational Locks. Journal of Civil & Environmental Engineering, 08(01). https://doi.org/10.4172/2165-784X.1000297.

Dopico, E., Arboleya, E., Fernandez, S., Borrell, Y., Consuegra, S., de Leaniz, C. G., Lázaro, G., Rodríguez, C., & Garcia-Vazquez, E. (2022). Water security determines social attitudes about dams and reservoirs in South Europe. Scientific Reports, 12, 6148. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-10170-7.

Doria, C. R. C., Dutka-Gianelli, J., Brasil de Sousa, S. T., Chu, J., & Garlock, T. M. (2021). Understanding impacts of dams on the small-scale fisheries of the Madeira River through the lens of the Fisheries Performance Indicators. Marine Policy, 125, 104261. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpol.2020.104261.

Ezugwu, C., Onyekweredike, K., Odumade, A., Ezugwu, C., Ifionu, S., Oloyede, A., & Omunakwe, O. (2022). A Review on Dam Engineering Practice and Sustainability in Nigeria. Jurnal Kejuruteraan, 34(5), 777–784. https://doi.org/10.17576/jkukm-2022-34(5)-03.

Ezzeldin, M., Rageh, O., & E. Saad, M. (2019). Navigation Channel Problems Due to Sedimentation. The International Maritime and Logistics Conference "Marlog 8."

Farshbaf Aghajani, H., Soltani-Jigheh, H., Salimi, M., Karimi, S., Estekanchi, V., & Akbarzadeh Ahari, R. (2022). Investigating the strength, hydraulic conductivity, and durability of the CSG (cemented sand-gravel) check dams: a case study in Iran. SN Applied Sciences, 4(6), 169. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-022-05062-4.

Fu, G., Ni, G., & Zhang, C. (2019). Recent Advances in Adaptive Catchment Management and Reservoir Operation. Water, 11(3), 427. https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030427.

Gautam, D., & Dugar, S. (2020). Improving Flood Forecasting in Karnali River Basin of Nepal Using Rainfall- Runoff Model and Complementary Error Model. IDRiM Journal, 9(2), 21–48. https://doi.org/10.5595/idrim.2020.0357.

Ghemmit-Doulache, N., & Ouslimani, N. (2021). Water quality control of three sites at kedarra barrage. Journal of Fundamental and Applied Sciences, 13(2), 965–981.

Gomes, M. G., da Silva, V. H. C., Pinto, L. F. R., Centoamore, P., Digiesi, S., Facchini, F., & Neto, G. C. de O. (2020). Economic, Environmental and Social Gains of the Implementation of Artificial Intelligence at Dam Operations toward Industry 4.0 Principles. Sustainability, 12(9), 3604. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12093604.

Guo, D., Zhou, L., Wang, G., Lai, H., Bi, S., Chen, X., Zhao, X., Liu, S., Luo, Y., & Li, G. (2020). Use of artificial structures to enhance fish diversity in the Youjiang River, a dammed river of the Pearl River in China. Ecology and Evolution, 10(23), 13439–13450. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6949.

Gupta, L., Pandey, M., Raj, P., & Shukla, A. (2022). Fine Sediment Intrusion and its Consequences for River Ecosystems: A Review. Journal of Hazardous, Toxic, and Radioactive Waste, 27. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HZ.2153-5515.0000729.

Hommadi, A. H., Al-Madhhachi, A. T., Alfawzy, A. M., & Saleh, R. A. (2020). Quantifying Canadian Water Quality Index in Alhindya Barrage, Euphrates River. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 870(1), 012065. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/870/1/012065.

Hooper, T., & Austen, M. (2013). Tidal barrages in the UK: Ecological and social impacts, potential mitigation, and tools to support barrage planning. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 23, 289–298. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2013.03.-001.

Ijadi Maghsoodi, A., Ijadi Maghsoodi, A., Poursoltan, P., Antucheviciene, J., & Turskis, Z. (2019). Dam construction material selection by implementing the integrated SWARA–CODAS approach with target-based attributes. Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering, 19(4), 1194–1210. https://doi.org-/10.1016/j.acme.2019.06.010.

Iloms, E., Ololade, O. O., Ogola, H. J. O., & Selvarajan, R. (2020). Investigating Industrial Effluent Impact on Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plant in Vaal, South Africa. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 17(3), 1096. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17031096.

Isia, I., Hadibarata, T., Hapsari, R., Jusoh, H., Bhattacharjya, R., & Shahedan, N. (2023). Assessing social vulnerability to flood hazards: A case study of Sarawak's divisions. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 97, 104052. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2023.104052.

Islam, A. R. M. T., Talukdar, S., Akhter, S., Eibek, K. U., Rahman, M. M., Pal, S., Naikoo, M. W., Rahman, A., & Mosavi, A. (2022). Assessing the Impact of the Farakka Barrage on Hydrological Alteration in the Padma River with Future Insight. Sustainability, 14(9), 5233. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095233.

Jameel, M., Sen, S., & Perumal, M. (2022). Evaluation of Sediment Management Techniques of Dokan Dam Accomplished with Climate Change Scenarios Using ResCon 2.2 Beta Model. Journal of Water Resources and Geosciences, 1(1), 117–124.

Ji, U., Jang, E.-K., & Kim, G. (2016). Numerical modeling of sedimentation control scenarios in the approach channel of the Nakdong River Estuary Barrage, South Korea. International Journal of Sediment Research, 31(3), 257–263. https://doi.org/-10.1016/j.ijsrc.2016.02.001.

Jia, J., Ding, L., Wu, Y., Zhao, C., & Zhao, L. (2023). Research and Application of Key Technologies for the Construction of Cemented Material Dam with Soft Rock. Applied Sciences, 13(7), 4626. https://doi.org/10.3390/app13074626.

Judek, C., Verhaegen, F., Edjossan-Sossou, A., & Verdel, T. (2019). Simulation-based training for improving managers' awareness to a crisis: An empirical study to observe the capability of the iCrisis simulation approach to generate crisis at the strategic level. IDRiM Journal, 9(1), 83–107. https://doi.org/10-.5595/idrim.2019.0320.

Karim, Md. M., & Bindra, N. (2016). Cumulative impact assessment for Sindh barrages. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 34(4), 346–358. https://doi.org/10.1080/14615517.-2016.1228341.

Kasim, M. S., Japarudin, M. F., Leng, S., Jawan, D., Sajod, A., Pawi, R., & Daud, K. (2022). Bathymetric Kuching Barrage. Lembaga Sungai-Sungai Sarawak.

Kemmerer, P., Brach, B., Kubiak, T., Singer, S., & Gianicolo, E. A. L. (2023). Association of risk perception and transport mode choice during the temporary closure of a major innercity road bridge: results of a cross-sectional study. European Transport Research Review, 15(1), 34. https://doi.org/10.1186/-s12544-023-00608-y.

Khan, S., Sinha, R., Whitehead, P., Sarkar, S., Jin, L., & Futter, M. N. (2018). Flows and sediment dynamics in the Ganga River under present and future climate scenarios. Hydrological Sciences Journal, 63(5), 763–782. https://doi.org/10-.1080/02626667.2018.1447113.

Kim, B., Shin, S. C., & Kim, D. Y. (2018). Scenario-Based Economic Impact Analysis for Bridge Closures Due to Flooding: A Case Study of North Gyeongsang Province, South Korea. Water, 10(8), 981. https://doi.org/10.3390/w10080981.

Kondolf, George 'Mathias, Gao, Y., Annandale, G., Morris, G., Jiang, E., Zhang, J., Cao, Y., Carling, P., Fu, K., Guo, Q., Hotchkiss, R., Peteuil, C., Sumi, T., Wang, H.-W., Wang, Z., Wei, Z., Wu, B., Wu, C., & Yang, C. (2014). Sustainable Sediment Management in Reservoirs and Regulated Rivers: Experiences from Five Continents. Earth's Future. https://doi.org/10-.1002/2013EF000184.

Kumar, J., Alam, A., Jha, D. N., Gogoi, P., Borah, S., & Das, B. K. (2022). Impact of barrages on assemblage pattern of phytoplankton in tropical river Ganga, India. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 194(11), 804. https://doi.org/10.1-007/s10661-022-10484-z.

Kumar, R. (2023). Ecological Implications of Dam and Barrage Construction in the Indian Himalayan Region: A Comprehensive Assessment. International Journal of Oceanography & Aquaculture, 7(2), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.23880/ijoac-16000239.

Kuok, K. K., Chiu, P. C., Rahman, M. R., Bakri, M. K. B., & Chin, M. Y. (2022). Effectiveness of Centralized Wastewater Treatment Plant in Removing Emerging Contaminants: A Case Study at Kuching, Malaysia. Journal of Water Resource and Protection, 14(9), 650–663. https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2022-.149034.

Kuok, K. K., Mersal, M. E., Chiu, P. C., Rahman, M. R., & Bakri, M. K. B. (2022). Climate change impacts on sea level rise to flood depth and extent of Sarawak River. Frontiers in Water, 4. https://doi.org/10.3389/frwa.2022.870936.

Lee, F.-Z., Lai, J.-S., & Sumi, T. (2022). Reservoir Sediment Management and Downstream River Impacts for Sustainable Water Resources—Case Study of Shihmen Reservoir. Water, 14(3), 479. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14030479.

Lee, W. C., Viswanathan, K. K., & Ali, J. (2014). Compensation policy in a large development project: the case of the Bakun hydroelectric dam. International Journal of Water Resources Development, 30, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/0790-0627.2014.914429.

Li, P., Li, D., Sun, X., Chu, Z., Xia, T., & Zheng, B. (2022). Application of Ecological Restoration Technologies for the Improvement of Biodiversity and Ecosystem in the River. Water, 14(9), 1402. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14091402.

Lin, S., & Chen, S. (2021). 3D Design of Gravity Dam Based on Virtual Reality CAD Dynamic Interactive System. Computer-Aided Design and Applications, 19(S5), 11–20. https://doi.org/10.14733/cadaps.2022.S5.11-20.

Loucks, D. P., & van Beek, E. (2017). Water Resources Planning and Management: An Overview. In D. P. Loucks & E. van Beek (Eds.), Water Resource Systems Planning and Management: An Introduction to Methods, Models, and Applications (pp. 1–49). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-44234-1_1.

Makmor, M., Salleh, H., & Nordin, N. A. (2020). Ineffective Public Participation for EIA: The Cause of Environmental Issues in Malaysia? Journal of Surveying, Construction & Property, 11(1), 83–96. https://doi.org/10.22452/jscp.vol11no1.8.

Mao, J., Wang, S., Ni, J., Xi, C., & Wang, J. (2017). Management System for Dam-Break Hazard Mapping in a Complex Basin Environment. ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information, 6(6), 162. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi6060162.

Mao, X., Jiang, X., Yuan, C., & Zhou, J. (2020). Modeling the Optimal Maintenance Scheduling Strategy for Bridge Networks. Applied Sciences, 10(2), 498. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10020498.

Martać, R., Milivojević, N., Despotović-Zrakić, M., Bogdanović, Z., & Barać, D. (2020). Enhancing Large Dam Safety Using IoT Technologies: A Case of a Smart Dam. JUCS - Journal of Universal Computer Science, 26(5), 583–603. https://doi.org/10.3897/jucs.2020.031.

Mccartney, M., Funge-Smith, S., & Kura, Y. (2018). Enhancing fisheries productivity through improved management of reservoirs, dams and other water control structures. CGIAR Research Program on Fish Agri-Food Systems. Program Brief: FISH-2018-11.

Mehta, A. M., Weeks, C. S., & Tyquin, E. (2020). Towards preparedness for dam failure: An evidence base for risk communication for downstream communities. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 50, 101820. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101820.

Mohamed, E. K., & Khalil, E. (2018). Innovative solution for the repair of hydraulic structures (regulators). Water Science, 32(2), 179–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wsj.2018.10.001.

Mohd Radzi, M. R., Zawawi, M. H., Abas, M. A., Ahmad Mazlan, A. Z., Mohd Arif Zainol, M. R. R., Hassan, N. H., Che Wan Zanial, W. N., Dullah, H., & Kamaruddin, M. A. (2023). Structure Integrity Analysis Using Fluid–Structure Interaction at Hydropower Bottom Outlet Discharge. Water, 15(6), 1039. https://doi.org/10.3390/w15061039.

Muda, R., mohd hussain, mohd ramzi, Tukiman, I., & Abdullah, F. (2021). Dam Safety Emergency Action Plan: A Current Practice for Hydropower Dam in Malaysia. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 1203, 032030. https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/1203/3/032030.

Negi, P., Kromanis, R., Dorée, A. G., & Wijnberg, K. M. (2024). Structural health monitoring of inland navigation structures and ports: a review on developments and challenges. Structural Health Monitoring, 23(1), 605–645. https://doi.org/10.1177/14759217231170742.

Omar, M. E. M., Ghareeb, M. A., & Sherbini, S. E. (2022). Effectiveness of dredging and drains' treatment on water quality of Rosetta branch. Environmental Engineering Research, 27(1). https://doi.org/10.4491/eer.2020.525.

Paramasivam, S., Kuganathan, S., Sathyaruban, S., & Kuddithamby, G. (2019). Impacts of the Thondaimanaru Barrage Construction on socio – economic status of fishing communities in Thondaimanaru Lagoon, Jaffna, Sri Lanka. Vingnanam Journal of Science, 14. https://doi.org/10.4038/vingnanam.v14i1.4139.

Pieron, Ł., Woś, K., & Wrzosek, K. (2022). Water Reservoirs in Plans to Improve Navigability of the Lower Section of the Vistula. Water, 14(24), 4042. https://doi.org/10.3390/w14244042.

Pirali zefrehei, A. R., Kolahi, M., & Fisher, J. (2022). Ecological - environmental challenges and restoration of aquatic ecosystems of the Middle-Eastern. Scientific Reports, 12(1), 17229. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-21465-0.

Quaranta, E., & Davies, P. (2022). Emerging and Innovative Materials for Hydropower Engineering Applications: Turbines, Bearings, Sealing, Dams and Waterways, and Ocean Power. Engineering, 8, 148–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eng.2021.06.025.

Ren, S., Zhang, B., Wang, W.-J., Yuan, Y., & Guo, C. (2021). Sedimentation and its response to management strategies of the Three Gorges Reservoir, Yangtze River, China. CATENA, 199, 105096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2020.105096.

Rodrigue, J.-P. (2016). The Role of Transport and Communication Infrastructure in Realising Development Outcomes. In The Palgrave Handbook of International Development (pp. 595–614). https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-42724-3_33.

Runde, A., Hallwass, G., & Silvano, R. A. M. (2020). Fishers' Knowledge Indicates Extensive Socioecological Impacts Downstream of Proposed Dams in a Tropical River. One Earth, 2(3), 255–268. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2020.02.012.

Sahoo, U., & Jojo, B. (2020). Examining Displacement, Resettlement and Rehabilitation Processes: The Case of Rengali Dam Displaced Communities in Odisha, India*An earlier version of this article, titled—'Why Development Brought Vulnerability for Them? A Situational Analysis of Resettled Colonies of Displaced Communities', was presented at the International Conference on 'Conflict Resolution and Sustainable Development' organised between 10 and 11 December 2016 at Nabakrushna Choudhury Centre For Development Studies, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India. The International Journal of Community and Social Development, 2(1), 29–50. https://doi.org/10.1177/2516602620908971.

Shrestha, B., Cochrane, T. A., Caruso, B. S., Arias, M. E., & Wild, T. B. (2021). Sediment Management for Reservoir Sustainability and Cost Implications Under Land Use/Land Cover Change Uncertainty. Water Resources Research, 57(4), e2020WR028351. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020WR028351.

Siegmund-Schultze, M., do Carmo Sobral, M., Alcoforado de Moraes, M. M. G., Almeida-Cortez, J. S., Azevedo, J. R. G., Candeias, A. L., Cierjacks, A., Gomes, E. T. A., Gunkel, G., Hartje, V., Hattermann, F. F., Kaupenjohann, M., Koch, H., & Köppel, J. (2018). The legacy of large dams and their effects on the water-land nexus. Regional Environmental Change, 18(7), 1883–1888. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-018-1414-7.

Singto, C., Fleskens, L., & Vos, J. (2022). Compensation for displacement caused by dam building: representation, recognition, and outcomes in Thailand. Impact Assessment and Project Appraisal, 40(5), 356–371. https://doi.org/10.1080/14615-517.2022.2052474.

Smith, A., Argyroudis, S., Winter, M., & Mitoulis, S. (2021). Economic impact of road bridge functionality loss from a resilience perspective: Queensferry Crossing. Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers - Bridge Engineering, 174, 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1680/jbren.20.00041.

Sobótka, M., Rozanski, A., Rainer, J., & Masłowski, M. (2023). A comprehensive computer aided approach to design of earthen dams of dry flood-control reservoirs. MethodsX, 10, 102077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2023.102077.

Suhaili, O. (1999). Sarawak Sungai Sarawak Barrage. Sarawak Marine Department.Sun, J., Du, W., Lucas, M. C., Ding, C., Chen, J., Tao, J., & He, D. (2023). River fragmentation and barrier impacts on fishes have been greatly underestimated in the upper Mekong River. Journal of Environmental Management, 327, 116817. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.11-6817.

Tadda, M. A., Ahsan, A., Imteaz, M., Shitu, A., Danhassan, U., & Muhammad, A. (2020). Operation and Maintenance of Hydraulic Structures. In Hydraulic Structures - Theory and Applications (pp. 1–13). IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.91949.

Tang, D., & Huang, M. (2024). The Sustainable Development of Bridges in China: Collapse Cause Analysis, Existing Management Dilemmas and Potential Solutions. Buildings, 14(2), 419. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings14020419.

Tiwari, N. K., Mohanty, T. R., Swain, H. S., Manna, R. K., Samanta, S., & Das, B. K. (2022). Multidecadal assessment of environmental variables in the river Ganga for pollution monitoring and sustainable management. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 194(8), 554. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10233-2.

Torti, A., Arena, M., Azzone, G., Secchi, P., & Vantini, S. (2022). Bridge closure in the road network of Lombardy: a spatio-temporal analysis of the socio-economic impacts. Statistical Methods & Applications, 31(4), 901–923. https://doi.org/-10.1007/s10260-021-00620-3.

Van Dang, T., & Rigo, P. (2023). Risk-Based Maintenance of Lock Gates Based on Multiple Critical Welded Joints. In Y. Li, Y. Hu, P. Rigo, F. E. Lefler, & G. Zhao (Eds.), Proceedings of PIANC Smart Rivers 2022 (pp. 623–631). Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6138-0_54.

Vilarinho, A., Liboni, L. B., Cezarino, L. O., Micco, J. D., Mommens, K., & Macharis, C. (2024). Challenges and Opportunities for the Development of Inland Waterway Transport in Brazil. Sustainability, 16(5), 2136. https://doi.org/10.3390/su1-6052136.

Wang, P., Wolf, S. A., Lassoie, J. P., & Dong, S. (2013). Compensation policy for displacement caused by dam construction in China: An institutional analysis. Geoforum, 48, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2013.04.009.

Yoon, J., Baak, S. J., Seo, M. Y., & Kim, T. (2022). Impacts of Reforestation on Stabilization of Riverine Water Levels in South Korea†. 1KDI Journal of Economic Policy, 44(4), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.23895/KDIJEP.2022.44.4.1.

Yoshida, Y., Lee, H. S., Trung, B. H., Tran, H.-D., Lall, M. K., Kakar, K., & Xuan, T. D. (2020). Impacts of Mainstream Hydropower Dams on Fisheries and Agriculture in Lower Mekong Basin. Sustainability, 12(6), 2408. https://doi.org/10.3390-/su12062408.

Zhang, X., Fang, C., Wang, Y., Lou, X., Su, Y., & Huang, D. (2022). Review of Effects of Dam Construction on the Ecosystems of River Estuary and Nearby Marine Areas. Sustainability, 14(10), 5974. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14105974.

Zhao, S., Kang, F., Li, J., & Ma, C. (2021). Structural health monitoring and inspection of dams based on UAV photogrammetry with image 3D reconstruction. Automation in Construction, 130, 103832. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.autcon.2021.103-832.

Žvanut, P. (2022). 3D Finite Element Analysis of a Concrete Dam Behavior under Changing Hydrostatic Load: A Case Study. Materials, 15(3), 921. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma1503-0921.