

Vol XXI. No. III (2024) pp 101–107

ISSN: 1697-4840, www.jmr.unican.es

# 

# Social Presence and Interaction Satisfaction: A Comparison Between Face-To-Face and Online Learning Modalities in Maritime Education

B S. Bulando<sup>1</sup>, P J M. Canico<sup>1</sup>, M A G. Chiong<sup>1</sup>, A B. Edradan<sup>1</sup>, G R U. Gamba<sup>1</sup>, F.T. Grendula<sup>1,\*</sup>, F.R.M. Lapinig<sup>1</sup>

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Article history: Received 02 Mar 2024; in revised from 18 Mar 2024; accepted 16 May 2024. <i>Keywords:</i> Social Presence, Social Interaction, Level of Satisfaction.	This quantitative study aimed to assess and compare the level of satisfaction of 2nd year SMET cadets of online and face-to-face learning modalities. The researchers also sought to identify satisfaction levels in terms of social presence and social interaction and to compare satisfaction levels between the two platforms. A total of 121 2nd year SMET cadet students were chosen using purposive sampling and surveyed through Google Form questionnaires, validated by experts. The data gathered from the survey were analyzed mean and Wilcoxon signed-rank test. The findings revealed that students were "totally satisfied" with online learning, while they were "very satisfied" with face-to-face learning. However, both learning modalities scored "very good" in terms of academic performance. There was a significant difference found between online or face-to-face learning and social presence or social interaction. Furthermore, face-to-face learning had a significantly higher mean compared to online learning in terms of social interaction, social presence, and overall satisfaction. The Wilcoxon signed-rank test confirmed these differences between the two learning modalities. In conclusion, this study indicates that 2nd year SMET cadet students expressed high satisfaction levels with both online and face-to-face learning, with face-to-face learning receiving slightly higher scores in social interaction, social presence, and overall satisfaction levels with both online and face-to-face learning, with face-to-face learning receiving slightly higher scores in social interaction, social presence, and overall satisfaction levels with both online and face-to-face learning, with face-to-face learning receiving slightly higher scores in social interaction, social presence, and overall satisfaction. The study highlights the importance of considering different teaching modalities and their impact on student satisfaction and academic performance.
© SEECMAR   All rights reserved	

# 1. Introduction.

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly impacted maritime education globally, particularly in the Philippines, necessitating swift adaptation of educational technologies to ensure uninterrupted learning (Ochavillo, 2020). Despite its challenges, the pandemic has accelerated the development and enhancement of online education, aiming to create more interactive and engaging learning environments. The implementation of "new normal educational policies" and the Republic Act 10650, known as the "Open Distance Learning Act," underscores the importance of expanding access to quality tertiary education through open learning services (Tria, 2020). Online education, including blended learning, has emerged as a vital tool in maintaining productive and efficient learning experiences for maritime students. It addresses gaps in instructor-student communication, content accessibility, and technological advancement. Amidst the pandemic, traditional cadet training has shifted towards blended learning, minimizing practical demonstrations in favor of online discussions and demonstrations.

Research has highlighted differences in satisfaction levels between online and face-to-face teaching practices, with traditional methods often receiving higher satisfaction ratings (Deepti G, 2021). However, little research has explored how these differences impact student engagement, particularly among maritime cadets.

To address this gap, researchers at the Saint Joseph Institute of Technology Maritime Education and Training (SMET) are conducting a study to measure the satisfaction levels of 2nd-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Maritime Academy. Saint Joseph Institute of Technology, Butuan City. \*Corresponding author: F.T. Grendula. E-mail Address: felizardo.grendula@sjit.edu.ph.

year cadets in terms of social presence and interaction in both online and face-to-face learning modalities. The study aims to determine how these satisfaction levels correlate providing valuable insights for improving maritime education modalities.

# 2. Review of Literature and Studies.

#### 2.1. Online Learning Modalities.

Online learning modalities have emerged as a crucial solution to facilitate student-centered learning, particularly during lockdown situations (Mukhtar et al., 2020). However, students encounter various challenges with this new mode of learning, including personal issues related to health, stress, and learning styles (Agaton & Cueto, 2021). To address these challenges, educational institutions have adopted online tools to create virtual spaces for learning and communication (Guzzo et al., 2023). The shift to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic has raised concerns about its impact on students' mental health, particularly in the Philippines (Malolos et al., 2021). Nevertheless, integrating technology into online courses can be an effective practice to enhance student education (Price Banks & Vergez, 2022). Distance education, facilitated by technology, allows students to attend classes remotely, overcoming geographical barriers (Hodges et al., 2020). While online learning offers flexibility, students may struggle with the absence of nonverbal communication, impacting their learning experience (Khalil et al., 2020). The pandemic has accelerated the reliance on online learning, with higher education institutions witnessing a significant increase in its adoption (Baloran et al., 2021). However, challenges such as lack of preparation and limited resources have been noted in the transition to virtual learning (Casimir et al., 2023).

#### 2.2. Face-To-Face Learning Modalities.

Traditional face-to-face learning, conducted in physical classrooms, has long been the primary mode of instruction (Singh et al., 2021). It enhances students' attitudes towards learning and improves metacognition and study skills (Pirrone et al., 2021). Despite the benefits of face-to-face instruction, the COVID-19 lockdown prompted students to express a preference for returning to in-person classes (Roy et al., 2020). Concerns have been raised about the quality of video conferencing compared to face-to-face instruction (Serhan, 2020). While traditional lectures are favored, active student engagement is considered more effective for learning (Alaagib et al., 2019). However, traditional learning approaches often lack student feedback sessions (Almanasef et al., 2020). Students generally express higher satisfaction levels with face-to-face courses compared to online courses (Ebner & Gegenfurtner, 2019). The absence of social presence is a key concern in online education, impacting students' academic performance and engagement (Makarova, 2021). Limited research has explored students' perceptions of online learning compared to traditional face-to-face instruction (Paul & Jefferson, 2019).

#### 2.3. Social Presence.

Social presence in online courses has been linked to higher course satisfaction, peer connections, and increased psychological connection and community (Patwardhan et al., 2021). It enhances the learning experience by providing opportunities for interpersonal relationships (Weidlich & Bastiaens, 2019). Tools that encourage social interaction can lead to greater satisfaction and course retention rates (Park et al., 2020).Students perceive social presence through impression formation and interpersonal relationships (Oyarzun et al., 2018). Creating integrated social and learning communities is recommended to improve social presence and learning outcomes (M. Zhu et al., 2019). Social presence plays a significant role in predicting course retention and performance, emphasizing its importance in online education (M. Zhu et al., 2019).

#### 2.4. Social Interaction.

Social interaction is essential for student engagement and learning (Ho-Yuan Chen et al., 2021). Collaborative activities, such as team reflection, enhance cognitive and socioemotional aspects of social interaction (Ela Sjølie et al., 2022). Social constructivist learning models recognize the importance of language and social interaction in learning (X. Zhu et al., 2020).

Peer interaction and social influence facilitate learning in gamified activities, enhancing motivation and fun (Chung & Pan, 2023). Encouraging social interaction improves language proficiency and fosters high-quality face-to-face interactions during in-person lectures (Nurulhuda Umar et al., 2023; Buhl-Wiggers et al., 2023). Social interactions fulfill the innate human need for belongingness and support (Hall et al., 2023).

#### 3. Framework.





Source: Authors.

# 4. Objectives of the Study.

The study aimed to determine the relationship of satisfaction levels of the cadets in two learning modalities .Specifically, it endeavored to answer the following:

 Determine the level of satisfaction of 2nd year SMET cadets in terms of social presence and social interaction towards Online learning modality and Face-to-face learning modality. 2. investigate the existence of a significant difference in the level of satisfaction regarding social presence and social interaction between online learning modality and face-to-face learning modality.

# 5. Methodology.

The study adopts a quantitative research design aimed at determining the level of satisfaction regarding social presence and social interaction among 2nd-year SMET cadets in both online and face-to-face modalities. Purposive sampling was utilized, selecting second-year cadets who have experienced the transition from online to face-to-face classes. The research was conducted at Saint Joseph Institute of Technology, Maritime Education, and Training, known for its modern facilities and quality education in the Caraga region. A questionnaire adapted from a previous study was employed to gather data, with questions tailored to assess the level of satisfaction in terms of social presence and social interaction. Google Forms facilitated the distribution of the survey, which underwent validity and reliability testing. Statistical analyses, including mean and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, were conducted to determine significant differences in satisfaction levels between online and faceto-face learning modalities. This quantitative approach allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the perceived satisfaction among cadets in different educational settings.

#### 6. Results and Discussion.

 
 Table 1: Mean Distribution of Social Presence and Social Interaction in Online Learning Modality.

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Verbal Interpretation
Social Presence	3.31	.56	Satisfied
Social Interaction	3.31	.62	Satisfied
N=121			

Source: Authors.

Table 1 presents findings from 121 respondents, indicating that the means of social presence and social interaction in online learning were identical, both at M = 3.31. However, social interaction exhibited a higher standard deviation compared to social presence, with values of .62 and .56, respectively. These results suggest that participants reported being completely satisfied with their online learning experience. This aligns with previous research by Khalil et al. (2020), which notes that students may struggle with online learning due to the absence of nonverbal communication. Furthermore, studies by Ho-Yuan Chen et al. (2021) and Dissing et al. (2019) emphasize the significance of social interaction for student engagement and transition to independence. Nasir's (2020) research highlights a positive relationship between social presence and course satisfaction in online learning, indicating that students with higher levels of social presence are more likely to achieve greater satisfaction. The absence of face-to-face interaction in computerbased environments underscores the importance of social presence in enhancing the learning experience, as noted by Weidlich & Bastiaens (2019). Overall, these findings emphasize the crucial role of social interaction and presence in shaping students' satisfaction and engagement in online learning environments.

Table 2: Mean Distribution of Social Presence and Social Interaction in Face-to-Face Learning Modality.

	Mean	Std. Deviation	Verbal Interpretation
Social Presence	3.53	.50	Very Satisfied
Social Interaction	3.55	.50	Very Satisfied

N=121

Source: Authors.

Table 2 presents the results from 121 respondents, indicating that the mean score for social interaction was higher (M = 3.55) compared to social presence (M = 3.53), while both variables exhibited the same standard deviation of .50. This suggests a high level of satisfaction with the face-to-face learning modality. This finding is consistent with prior research by Hall et al. (2023), which emphasizes the importance of social interactions in fulfilling the innate need for belongingness. Additionally, Oyarzun et al. (2018) suggest that students perceive social presence through impression formation, while Yoon & Leem (2021) highlight the role of interaction and interpersonal relationships in determining social presence.

Table 3: Satisfaction level of social interaction in online and face-to-face learning modalities.

	Mean	50 <sup>th</sup> (Median)	Ν	Mean Rank	Test Statistics <sup>a</sup>	
OLM Social Interaction Score	3.31	3.00	4 <sup>a</sup>	16.00	Z	-4.41 <sup>b</sup>
FTF Social Interaction Score	3.55	4.00	30 <sup>b</sup>	17.70	Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)	.00
N= 121						

OLM- Online Learning Modality FTF- Face-to-Face

TTT Tucc to Tucc

Source: Authors.

Table 3 presents the results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, indicating a significant difference between two variables: Online Learning Modality Social Interaction (M = 3.31) and Face-to-Face Social Interaction (M = 3.55). It concludes that the mean of Face-to-Face Social Interaction is higher than that of Online Learning Modality Social Interaction. Additionally, the median ranks for face-to-face social presence (Mdn = 4.00) were statistically significantly higher than those for online learning social presence (Mdn = 3.00), with a Z-value of -4.491 and a p-value of .00. This finding is supported by the study of M. Zhu et al. (2019), which highlights the significant role of so-

cial presence in predicting course retention and performance ratings. The study suggests creating integrated social and learning communities to enhance social presence in online environments.

Table 4: Satisfaction level of Social Presence in online and faceto-face learning modalities.

	Mean	50th (Median)	Ν	Mean Rank	Test Statistics	a
OLM Social Presence Score	3.34	3.00	00 1ª 12	12.5	Z	- 4.49 b
FTF Social Presence Score	3.52	4.00	23 <sup>b</sup>	12.5	Asymp. Sig. (2- tailed)	.00

N= 121 OLM- Online Learning Modality FTF- Face-to-Face

Source: Authors.

Table 4 presents the results of the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, indicating a significant difference between two variables: Online Learning Modality Social Presence (M = 3.34) and Faceto-Face Social Presence (M = 3.52). It concludes that the mean of Face-to-Face Social Presence is higher than that of Online Learning Modality Social Presence. Additionally, the median ranks for face-to-face social interaction (Mdn = 4.00) were statistically significantly higher than those for online learning social interaction (Mdn = 3.00), with a Z-value of -4.412 and a p-value of .00. This finding is supported by the study of Buhl-Wiggers et al. (2023), which suggests that using online lectures frees up class time for engaging in high-quality face-to-face interaction with students. Moreover, encouraging social interaction can lead to improvements in students' language proficiency (Nurulhuda Umar et al., 2023) and enhance motivation and fun in gamified activities (Chung & Pan, 2023).

## Conclusions.

The following conclusions were drawn from the study:

- 1. Participants reported being totally satisfied with the online learning modality, while their satisfaction with the face-to-face learning modality was very high. This suggests that the social presence and social interaction experienced in face-to-face learning are significantly more satisfying than those in online learning.
- 2. A significant difference was observed between the two variables: face-to-face social interaction exhibited a higher mean result than online social interaction. Similarly, faceto-face social presence demonstrated a higher mean compared to online social presence. Additionally, face-toface satisfaction surpassed online learning satisfaction in terms of mean scores. These differences were confirmed through the Wilcoxon signed-rank test, highlighting that across all variables-face-to-face social interaction, social presence, and satisfaction-higher mean results were consistently observed compared to online learning.

### **Recommendations.**

Based on the study's findings, several recommendations are proposed:

Saint Joseph Institute of Technology Administration should prioritize engaging teaching methods across both online and face-to-face learning modes. This can be achieved by organizing seminars and training sessions for educators, aiming to develop effective teaching strategies tailored to each modality.

Lastly, future researchers are advised to delve deeper into areas such as evaluating scores given to homework assignments and examinations in each class, and exploring potential differences in assessments between online and face-to-face settings. These recommendations aim to further improve the quality and effectiveness of maritime education at Saint Joseph Institute of Technology.

#### **References.**

Adinda, D., & Mohib, N. (2020). Teaching and instructional design approaches to enhance students' self-directed learning in blended learning environments. Electronic Journal of E-Learning, 18(2), 162-174. https://doi.org/10.34190/EJEL.20.-18.2.005.

Agaton, C. B., & Cueto, L. J. (2021). Learning at home: Parents' lived experiences on distance learning during COVID-19 pandemic in the Philippines. International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education, 10(3), 901-911. https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i3.21136.

Alaagib, N. A., Musa, O. A., & Saeed, A. M. (2019). Comparison of the effectiveness of lectures based on problems and traditional lectures in physiology teaching in Sudan. BMC Medical Education, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-17-99-0.

Algurashi, E. (2019). Predicting student satisfaction and perceived learning within online learning environments. Distance Education, 40(1), 133-148. https://doi.org/10.1080/015-87919.2018.1553562.

Baber, H. (2020). Determinants of students' perceived learning outcome and satisfaction in online learning during the pandemic of COVID19. Journal of Education and E-Learning Research, 7(3), 285-292. https://doi.org/10.20448/JOURNAL.5-09.2020.73.285.292.

Bali, S., & Liu, M. C. (2018). Students' perceptions toward online learning and face-to-face learning courses. Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1108(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1-742-6596/1108/1/012094.

Baloran, E. T., Hernan, J. T., & Taoy, J. S. (2021). COURSE SATISFACTION AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT IN ON-LINE LEARNING AMID COVID-19 PANDEMIC: A STRUC-TURAL EQUATION MODEL. In Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education.

Bhat, B. A., & Jeelani Bhat, G. (2019). Formative and Summative Evaluation Techniques for Improvement of Learning Process Formative and summative evaluation View project. https://ejbss.org/.

Bognar, B., Sablić, M., & Škugor, A. (2018). Flipped learning and online discussion in higher education teaching. In *Didactics of Smart Pedagogy: Smart Pedagogy for Technology Enhanced Learning* (pp. 371–392). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01551-0\_19.

Buhl-Wiggers, J., Kjærgaard, A., & Munk, K. (2023). A scoping review of experimental evidence on face-to-face components of blended learning in higher education. *Studies in Higher Education*, 48(1), 151–173. https://doi.org/10.1080/03-075079.2022.2123911.

C. Viray, M., C. Bag-ao, B. V., Jean V. Consistente, M., & Barredo, E. (2023). MSU-Main Selected Civic Welfare Training Service Students' Challenges in Online Distance Learning and their Academic Performance. *International Journal of Scientific and Management Research*, 06(01), 36–51. https://doi.org/10.37502/IJSMR.2023.6103.

Cabello, C. A. (2022). Higher Education Professors in Blended Learning Modality of Teaching: The Silent Tears of Heroes Towards Resiliency. In *Journal of Positive School Psychology* (Vol. 2022, Issue 3). http://journalppw.com.

Casimir, O. A., Blake, S. C., Klosky, J. v., & Gazmararian, J. A. (2023). Adaptations to the Learning Environment for Elementary School Children in Georgia during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*. https://doi.org/10-.1007/s10826-022-02531-7.

Chung, C. H., & Pan, H. L. W. (2023). Assessing the Effects of Flow, Social Interaction, and Engagement on Students' Gamified Learning: A Mediation Analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(2). https://doi.org/10.3390/su15020983.

Dilling, J., Varga, M. A., & Mandernach, B. J. (2020). Comparing Teaching and Social Presence in Traditional and Online Community College Learning Environments. *Community College Journal of Research and Practice*, 44(10–12), 854–869. https://doi.org/10.1080/10668926.2020.1752852.

Dinh, L. P., & Nguyen, T. T. (2020). Pandemic, social distancing, and social work education: students' satisfaction with online education in Vietnam. *Social Work Education*, *39*(8), 1074–1083. https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2020.1823365.

Dissing, A. S., Jørgensen, T. B., Gerds, T. A., Rod, N. H., & Lund, R. (2019). High perceived stress and social interaction behaviour among young adults. A study based on objective measures of face-to-face and smartphone interactions. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(7). https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218429.

DURNALI Asst, M., Akbaşli, S., & DİŞ Candidate, O. (n.d.). School Administrators' Communication Skills as a Predictor of. In *Organizational Silence*. *i.e.: inquiry in education* (Vol. 12).

Dutta, S., Ambwani, S., Lal, H., Ram, K., Mishra, G., Kumar, T., & Varthya, S. B. (2021). The satisfaction level of undergraduate medical and nursing students regarding distant preclinical and clinical teaching amidst covid-19 across India. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, *12*, 113–122. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S290142.

Ebner, C., & Gegenfurtner, A. (2019). Learning and Satisfaction in Webinar, Online, and Face-to-Face Instruction: A Meta-Analysis. In *Frontiers in Education* (Vol. 4). Frontiers Media S.A. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2019.00092. el Sadik, A., & al Abdulmonem, W. (2021). Improvement in Student Performance and Perceptions through a Flipped Anatomy Classroom: Shifting from Passive Traditional to Active Blended Learning. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, *14*(4), 482– 490. https://doi.org/10.1002/ase.2015.

Elshami, W., Taha, M. H., Abuzaid, M., Saravanan, C., al Kawas, S., & Abdalla, M. E. (2021). Satisfaction with online learning in the new normal: perspective of students and faculty at medical and health sciences colleges. *Medical Education Online*, *26*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2021.1920090.

Geng, S., Law, K. M. Y., & Niu, B. (2019). Investigating self-directed learning and technology readiness in blending learning environment. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.118-6/s41239-019-0147-0.

Giannetti, R., Cinquini, L., Miolo Vitali, P., & Mitchell, F. (2021). Management accounting change as a learning process: a longitudinal analysis. *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management*, *18*(4–5), 484–515. https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-01-2017-0003.

Guzzo, T., Caschera, M. C., Ferri, F., & Grifoni, P. (2023). Analysis of the Digital Educational Scenario in Italian High Schools during the Pandemic: Challenges and Emerging Tools. *Sustainability*, *15*(2), 1426. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021426.

Hall, J. A., Pennington, N., & Merolla, A. J. (2023). Which mediated social interactions satisfy the need to belong? *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 28(1). https://doi.org/-10.1093/jcmc/zmac026.

Jackson, J., Almos, H., Karibian, N., Lieb, C., Butts-Wilmsmeyer, C., & Aranda, M. L. (2022). Identifying Factors That Influence Student Perceptions of Stress in Biology Courses with Online Learning Modalities. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.00233-21.

Kemp, N., & Grieve, R. (2014). Face-to-face or face-toscreen? Undergraduates' opinions and test performance in classroom vs. Online learning. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 5(NOV). https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01278.

Khalil, R., Mansour, A. E., Fadda, W. A., Almisnid, K., Aldamegh, M., Al-Nafeesah, A., Alkhalifah, A., & Al-Wutayd, O. (2020). The sudden transition to synchronized online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: A qualitative study exploring medical students' perspectives. *BMC Medical Education*, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02208-Z.

Kreijns, K., Xu, K., & Weidlich, J. (2022). Social Presence: Conceptualization and Measurement. In *Educational Psychology Review* (Vol. 34, Issue 1, pp. 139–170). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09623-8.

Küsel, J., Martin, F., & Markic, S. (2020). University students' readiness for using digital media and online learning— Comparison between Germany and the USA. *Education Sciences*, *10*(11), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci10110313.

Lasfeto, D. B., & Ulfa, S. (2020). The relationship between self-directed learning and students' social interaction in the online learning environment. *Journal of E-Learning and Knowledge Society*, *16*(2), 34–41. https://doi.org/10.20368/1971-882-9/1135078. Makarova, E. (2021). Effectiveness of traditional and online learning: comparative analysis from the student perspective. *SHS Web of Conferences*, *99*, 01019. https://doi.org/10.1051/-shsconf/20219901019.

Manoharan, S. R., Hua, T. K., & Sultan, F. M. M. (2022). A Comparison of Online Learning Challenges Between Young Learners and Adult Learners in ESL Classes During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Critical Review. *Theory and Practice in Language Studies*, *12*(1), 28–35. https://doi.org/10.17507/tpls.12-01.04.

Martínez-García, R., Fraile-Fernández, F. J., Búrdalo-Salcedo, G., Castañón-García, A. M., Fernández-Raga, M., & Palencia, C. (2022b). Satisfaction Level of Engineering Students in Face-to-Face and Online Modalities under COVID-19—Case: School of Engineering of the University of León, Spain. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(10). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14-106269.

Moreton, J., Kelly, C. S., & Sandstrom, G. M. (2023). Social support from weak ties: Insight from the literature on minimal social interactions. In *Social and Personality Psychology Compass.* John Wiley and Sons Inc. https://doi.org/10.1111/spc3.12729.

Muhamad Don, M. A., Rosli, M. R., Mohd Senin, M. S., & Ahmad, M. F. (2022). Exploring Social Presence Theory in The Online Classroom: The Case for Online Presence. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *12*(1). https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i1/11440.

Mukhtar, K., Javed, K., Arooj, M., & Sethi, A. (2020). Advantages, limitations and recommendations for online learning during covid-19 pandemic era. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, *36*(COVID19-S4), S27–S31. https://doi.org/10.1266-9/pjms.36.COVID19-S4.2785.

Naji, K. K., Du, X., Tarlochan, F., Ebead, U., Hasan, M. A., & Al-Ali, A. K. (2020). Engineering students' readiness to transition to emergency online learning in response to COVID-19: Case of Qatar. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 16*(10). https://doi.org/10.29333/E-JMSTE/8474.

Nasir, M. K. M. (2020). The Influence of Social Presence on Students' Satisfaction toward Online Course. *Open Praxis*, *12*(4), 485. https://doi.org/10.5944/openpraxis.12.4.1141.

Nasution, A. K. P., Surbakti, A. H., Zakaria, R., Wahyuningsih, S. K., & Daulay, L. A. (2021). Face to Face Learning vs Blended Learning vs Online Learning (Student Perception of Learning). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1783*(1). https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1783/1/012112.

Ochavillo, G. S. (2020). A Paradigm Shift of Learning in Maritime Education amidst COVID-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(6), 164. https://doi.org/1-0.5430/ijhe.v9n6p164.

ORAKCI, Ş., Durnalı, M., & Toraman, Ç. (2022). Distance education students' acceptance of online learning systems, attitudes towards online learning and their self-directed learning skills. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, *10*(2), 76–94. https://doi.org/10.52380/mojet.2022.10.2.236.

Patra, S., Khan, A., Upadhyay, M., Sharma, R., Rajoura, O., & Bhasin, S. (2020). Module to facilitate self-directed learn-

ing among medical undergraduates: Development and implementation. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 9(1). https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp\_125\_20.

Paul, J., & Jefferson, F. (2019). A Comparative Analysis of Student Performance in an Online vs. Face-to-Face Environmental Science Course From 2009 to 2016. *Frontiers in Computer Science*, *1*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcomp.2019.00007.

Pham, L., Limbu, Y. B., Bui, T. K., Nguyen, H. T., & Pham, H. T. (2019). Does e-learning service quality influence e-learning student satisfaction and loyalty? Evidence from Vietnam. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0136-3.

Pirrone, C., Varrasi, S., Platania, G. A., & Castellano, S. (2021). *Face-to-face and online learning: the role of technology in students' metacognition*.

Price Banks, D., & Vergez, S. M. (2022). Online and In-Person Learning Preferences during the COVID-19 Pandemic among Students Attending the City University of New York. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 23(1). https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.00012-22.

Puspitarini, Y. D., & Hanif, M. (2019). Using Learning Media to Increase Learning Motivation in Elementary School. *Anatolian Journal of Education*, *4*(2), 53–60. https://doi.org/10-.29333/aje.2019.426a.

Reisenwitz, T. H., & Fowler, J. G. (2021). TRANSITION-ING FROM FACE-TO-FACE TO ONLINE CLASSES DUR-ING A PANDEMIC: FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT STU-DENT SATISFACTION OF THE ADMINISTRATION AND INSTRUCTORS. *Marketing Education Review*, *31*(3), 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1080/10528008.2021.1943446.

Renee Spears, L. (n.d.). Social Presence, Social Interaction, Collaborative Learning, and Satisfaction in Online and Faceto-Face Courses. https://lib.dr.iastate.edu/etd.

Ruder, S., Peters, M., Swayamdipta, S., & Wolf, T. (2019). *Transfer Learning in Natural Language Processing Tutorial*.

Saiyad, S., Virk, A., Mahajan, R., & Singh, T. (2020). Online teaching in medical training: Establishing good online teaching practices from cumulative experience. *International Journal of Applied and Basic Medical Research*, *10*(3), 149. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijabmr.ijabmr.358\_20.

Sandars, J., Correia, R., Dankbaar, M., de Jong, P., Goh, P. S., Hege, I., Masters, K., Oh, S.-Y., Patel, R., Premkumar, K., Webb, A., & Pusic, M. (2020). Twelve tips for rapidly migrating to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *MedEd-Publish*, *9*, 82. https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000082.1.

Shu, J., Xie, Q., Yi, L., Zhao, Q., Zhou, S., Xu, Z., & Meng, D. (n.d.). *Meta-Weight-Net: Learning an Explicit Mapping For Sample Weighting*. https://github.com/xjtushujun/meta-weight-net.

Singh, J., Steele, K., & Singh, L. (2021). Combining the Best of Online and Face-to-Face Learning: Hybrid and Blended Learning Approach for COVID-19, Post Vaccine, & Post-Pandemic World. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, *50*(2), 140–171. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472395211047865.

Siqueira, M. A. M., Gonçalves, J. P., Mendonça, V. S., Kobayasi, R., Arantes-Costa, F. M., Tempski, P. Z., & Martins, M. de A. (2020). Relationship between metacognitive awareness and motivation to learn in medical students. *BMC Medical Education*, 20(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02318-8.

Sjølie, E., Espenes, T. C., & Buø, R. (2022). Social interaction and agency in self-organizing student teams during their transition from face-to-face to online learning. *Computers and Education*, 189. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2022.1045-80.

Tria, J. Z. (2020). The COVID-19 Pandemic through the Lens of Education in the Philippines: The New Normal. *International Journal of Pedagogical Development and Lifelong Learning*, *1*(1), ep2001. https://doi.org/10.30935/ijpdll/8311.

Varachotisate, P., Siritaweechai, N., Kositanurit, W., Thanprasertsuk, S., Chayanupatkul, M., Thongsricome, T., Bumphenkiatikul, T., Chuleerarux, N., Watanatada, P., Werawatganon, D., Somboonwong, J., Siriviriyakul, P., Sanguanrungsirikul, S., Bongsebandhu-Phubhakdi, S., Ratanasirisawad, V., Jaroenlapnopparat, A., Burana, C., Somsirivattana, P., Kulaputana, O., & Kaikaew, K. (2023). Student academic performance in nonlecture physiology topics following the abrupt change from traditional on-site teaching to online teaching during COVID-19 pandemic. *Medical Education Online*, *28*(1). https://doi.org/10-.1080/10872981.2022.2149292.

Waddington, A., & Porter, S. (2021). Developing social presence in online learning among nurses: Exploration of the community of inquiry models domain of social using a qualitative descriptive design. *Nurse Education in Practice*, *52*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2021.103000.

Wang, C., Hsu, H. C. K., Bonem, E. M., Moss, J. D., Yu, S., Nelson, D. B., & Levesque-Bristol, C. (2019). Need satis-

faction and need dissatisfaction: A comparative study of online and face-to-face learning contexts. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 95, 114–125. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.034.

Watson, C., Templet, T., Leigh, G., Broussard, L., & Gillis, L. (2023). Student and faculty perceptions of effectiveness of online teaching modalities. *Nurse Education Today*, *120*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2022.105651.

Wut, T. ming, & Xu, J. (2021). Person-to-person interactions in online classroom settings under the impact of COVID-19: a social presence theory perspective. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 22(3), 371–383. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-021-09673-1.

Ye, J.-H., Lee, Y.-S., Wang, C.-L., Nong, W., Ye, J.-N., & Sun, Y. (2023). The Continuous Use Intention for the Online Learning of Chinese Vocational Students in the Post-Epidemic Era: The Extended Technology Acceptance Model and Expectation Confirmation Theory. *Sustainability*, *15*(3), 1819. https://doi.org/10.3390/su15031819.

Zhu, M., Herring, S. C., & Bonk, C. J. (2019). Exploring presence in online learning through three forms of computermediated discourse analysis. *Distance Education*, 40(2), 205–225. https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2019.1600365.

Zhu, X., Chen, B., Avadhanam, R. M., Shui, H., & Zhang, R. Z. (2020). Reading and connecting: using social annotation in online classes. *Information and Learning Science*, *121*(5–6), 261–271. https://doi.org/10.1108/ILS-04-2020-0117.

Zimmermann, R. S., Sharma, Y., Schneider, S., Bethge, M., & Brendel, W. (2021). *Contrastive Learning Inverts the Data Generating Process*.